arXiv:1209.5205v1 [math.NT] 24 Sep 2012

ON THE BREUIL–MÉZARD CONJECTURE

VYTAUTAS PAŠKŪNAS

ABSTRACT. We give a new local proof of Breuil-Mézard conjecture for two dimensional representations of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, when $p \geq 5$ and the representation has scalar endomorphisms.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Generalities 3
2.1. Cycles 7
2.2. Banach space representations 8
2.3. Generic freeness 9
2.4. Reduceness 10
2.5. Projectivity 11
2.6. Summary 13
3. Preliminaries on the representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ 14
4. 15
4.1. Locally algebraic vectors 19
4.2. Locally algebraic vectors in extensions 21
5. Proof of the conjecture 23
5.1. Generic case 23
5.2. Non-generic case 26
6. Semi-stable, non-crystalline case 32
References 37

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the field of p-adic numbers, L a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with the ring integers $\mathcal{O}$, uniformizer ϖ and residue field k. Let $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be a continuous representation of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) = k$. Let R_ρ be the universal deformation ring of ρ and $\rho^{\mathrm{un}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R_\rho)$ the universal deformation. If $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R_\rho[1/p]$, where m-Spec denotes the maximal ideals, then the residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ is a finite extension of L. Thus specializing the universal deformation at $\mathfrak{n}$, we obtain a continuous representation $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})})$, which reduces to ρ modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})}$. Since $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ is a finite extension of L, $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ lives in Fontaine's world of p-adic Hodge theory. We fix a p-adic Hodge type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ and investigate the locus of points in $\mathrm{Spec}\, R_\rho$ for which $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is of type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$, where $\mathbf{w} = (a, b)$ is a pair of integers with

---

Date: September 25, 2012.

$b > a$, $\tau : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ is a representation of the inertia subgroup with an open kernel and $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ a continuous character, such that $\psi \equiv \det \rho \pmod{\varpi}$, $\psi|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \varepsilon^{a+b} \det \tau$, where ε is the p-adic cyclotomic character. If $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ is potentially semi-stable we let $\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}})$ be the Weil-Deligne representation associated to $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ by Fontaine in [27]. In this case we say that $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ is of type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ if its Hodge-Tate weights are equal to $\mathbf{w}$, the determinant equal to ψ and $\mathrm{WD}(\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}})|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau$.

In [31], Henniart has shown the existence and uniqueness of a smooth irreducible representation $\sigma(\tau)$ (resp. $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$) of $K := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on an L-vector space, such that if π is a smooth absolutely irreducible representation of $G := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and let $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)$ be the Weil-Deligne representation attached to π by the classical local Langlands correspondence then $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$) if and only if $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau$ (resp. $\mathrm{LL}(\pi)|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau$ and the monodromy operator $N = 0$). We have $\sigma(\tau) \cong \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau)$ in all cases, except if $\tau \cong \chi \oplus \chi$, then $\sigma(\tau) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{st}} \otimes \chi \circ \det$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau) \cong \chi \circ \det$, where $\tilde{\mathrm{st}}$ is the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and we view χ as a character of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ via the local class field theory.

We let $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau) := \sigma(\tau) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det^a$ and let $\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)}$ be the semi-simplification of a reduction modulo ϖ of a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$. One may show that $\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)}$ does not depend on the choice of a lattice. For each irreducible k-representation σ of K we let $m_\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ be the multiplicity with which σ occurs in $\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)}$. We let $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau) := \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det^a$ and let $m_\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ be the multiplicity of σ in $\overline{\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)}$.

Recall that the group of d-dimensional cycles $\mathcal{Z}_d(R)$ of a noetherian ring R is a free abelian group generated by $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\, R$ with $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. If M is a finitely generated R-module of dimension at most d then $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module of finite length, which we denote by $\ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$, for all $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$. We let $z_d(M) := \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \ell_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})\mathfrak{p}$, where the sum is taken over all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\, R$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $p \geq 5$. There exists a reduced, two dimensional, $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free quotient $R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi, \mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$) of R_ρ such that for all $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R_\rho[1/p]$, $\mathfrak{n}$ lies in $\text{m-Spec}\, R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)[1/p]$ (resp. $R_\rho^{\psi, \mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)[1/p]$) if and only if $\rho_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathrm{un}}$ is potentially semi-stable (resp. potentially crystalline) of p-adic Hodge type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$.*

Moreover, for each irreducible k-representation σ of K there exists a one dimensional cycle $z(\sigma, \rho)$ of R_ρ, independent of $\mathbf{w}$ and τ, such that for all p-adic Hodge types $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ we have an equality of one dimensional cycles:

$$z_1(R_\rho^\psi(\mathbf{w}, \tau)/(\varpi)) = \sum_\sigma m_\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau) z(\sigma, \rho), \tag{1}$$

$$z_1(R_\rho^{\psi, \mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)/(\varpi)) = \sum_\sigma m_\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau) z(\sigma, \rho), \tag{2}$$

where the sum is taken over the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible k-representations of K.

Further, $z(\sigma, \rho)$ is non-zero if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, \beta) \neq 0$, where β is a smooth k-representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ associated to ρ by Colmez in [16] *(the so called atome automorphe). In which case the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of $z(\sigma, \rho)$ is one, with one exception when $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \otimes \chi$ and $*$ is peu ramifié in the sense of* [54]*, in which case the multiplicity is* 2.

The equality of cycles implies the equality of Hilbert-Samuel multiplicities, which proves the conjecture posed in [10]. The result is new only in the case when $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \otimes \chi$, where ω is the mod p cyclotomic character. The other cases have been treated by Kisin in [33]. Moreover, the existence of potentially semi-stable deformation rings have been established by Kisin in [32] in much greater generality, however our arguments give a new proof of this for ρ as above. Different parts of Kisin's arguments have been improved upon by Breuil-Mézard [11] and Emerton-Gee [25]. In particular, the formulation of the problem in terms of the language of cycles is due to Emerton-Gee. In all these papers, there is a local part of the argument using the p-adic local Langlands for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, see [16], [15], [3] and [2] for an overview, and a global part of the argument, which uses Taylor-Wiles-Kisin patching argument. Our proof is purely local, except that we need the description of locally algebraic vectors in the p-adic Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and in the supercuspidal case, i.e. when τ is a restriction to $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of an irreducible representation of the Weil group, this description uses a global argument of Emerton [24]. We use the results of [45] instead of the global input.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we develop a general formalism, which generates Breuil-Mézard conjecture like statements. The formalism is summed up in §2.6. Since the Breuil-Mézard conjecture is expected and sometimes even known to hold in other contexts, see [35], [29], we hope that our formalism will be useful. We then spend the rest of the paper checking the conditions that make the formalism work. In the case when τ is the restriction to $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of an irreducible representation of the Weil group we rely on forthcoming results of Dospinescu to deduce that certain ring is reduced, see Theorem 4.18.

Acknowledgements. I thank Eike Lau and Thomas Zink for a number of stimulating discussions. I thank Toby Gee for hosting me at Imperial College London, where large parts of the paper were written. I thank especially Matthew Emerton, who explained to me during the LMS Symposium in Durham in 2011, that he had global reasons to believe that the restriction to K of a representation denoted by N in §5.2 is projective. This was a major source of motivation for me to prove it locally, which resulted in Theorem 2.38.

2. GENERALITIES

Let G be a p-adic analytic group and let K be a compact open subgroup of G. Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_G(\mathcal{O})$ be the category of smooth G-representation on $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules. Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_G(\mathcal{O})$ be the category of compact $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-modules with an action of $\mathcal{O}[G]$, such that the two actions coincide, when restricted to $\mathcal{O}[K]$. The subscript pro stands for profinite-augmented. Sending τ to its Pontryagin dual $\tau^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau, L/\mathcal{O})$ equipped with the compact-open topology induces an anti-equivalence of categories between $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_G(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_G(\mathcal{O})$, with the inverse functor given by $M \mapsto M^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}^{cont}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, L/\mathcal{O})$.

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local commutative noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with residue field k. Suppose that we are given N in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_G(\mathcal{O})$, and an $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphism from R into the ring of endomorphisms of N, such that there exists a basis of open neighbourhoods $\{N_i\}_{i\in I}$ of 0 in N, consisting of R-submodules of N. If m is a compact R module, we let $\mathrm{m}\,\widehat{\otimes}_R\, N := \varprojlim \mathrm{m}/\mathrm{m}_j \otimes_R N/N_i$, where $\{\mathrm{m}_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a basis of open neighborhoods of 0 in m consisting of R-submodules. For every finitely presented R-module m the completed and the usual tensor products coincide, and since R is noetherian, every finitely generated module is finitely presented.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\lambda \in \operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ is of finite length, then the natural action of R on $\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \lambda^\vee)$ is continuous for the discrete topology on the module.*

Proof. We have the following isomorphisms of R-modules:

$$\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \lambda^\vee) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_K(\lambda, N^\vee) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_K(\lambda, \varinjlim N^\vee[\mathfrak{m}^n]).$$

Since λ is a finitely generated K-representation, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Hom}_K(\lambda, \varinjlim N^\vee[\mathfrak{m}^n]) \cong \varinjlim \operatorname{Hom}_K(\lambda, N^\vee[\mathfrak{m}^n]).$$

The action of R on $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\lambda, N^\vee[\mathfrak{m}^n])$ is continuous for the discrete topology on the module, since it factors through the action of $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$. The isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \lambda^\vee) \cong \varinjlim \operatorname{Hom}_K(\lambda, N^\vee[\mathfrak{m}^n]) \tag{3}$$

implies the claim. □

Definition 2.2. *If $\lambda \in \operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ is of finite length, then we let*

$$M(\lambda) := (\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \lambda^\vee))^\vee.$$

Lemma 2.3. *The map $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$ defines a covariant, right exact functor from the category of smooth, finite length K-representations on $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules, to the category of compact R-modules. If N is projective in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ then the functor is exact.*

Proof. Since Pontryagin dual of a discrete module is compact, Lemma 2.1 implies that $M(\lambda)$ is a compact R-module for $\lambda \in \operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ of finite length. Since $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$ is defined as a composition of three functors, the first contravariant and exact, the second covariant and left exact, and the third contravariant and exact, it is a covariant, right exact functor. If N is projective in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ then the functor $\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, *)$ is exact. Since taking Pontryagin duals is an exact functor we deduce that $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$ is an exact functor. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ be of finite length and let* m *be a finitely generated R-module. Then we have a natural isomorphisms of compact R-modules:*

$$\mathrm{m} \widehat{\otimes}_R (\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \lambda^\vee))^\vee \cong (\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(\mathrm{m} \widehat{\otimes}_R N, \lambda^\vee))^\vee. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Both sides of (4) are isomorphic if m is a free R-module of finite rank. The general case follows by considering a presentation of m. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ be of finite length. If $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, then $M(\lambda)$ is a finitely generated R-module. Moreover, $\dim M(\lambda) \le \max_\sigma \{\dim M(\sigma)\}$, where the maximum is taken over all the irreducible subquotients of λ.*

Proof. By Nakayama's lemma for compact R-modules, it is enough to show that $k \widehat{\otimes}_R M(\lambda)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space. Since $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, its Pontryagin dual is an admissible K-representation. Hence, the subspace of H-invariants $((k \widehat{\otimes}_R N)^\vee)^H$ is finite dimensional for every open subgroup H of K. Taking H to be the kernel of λ, we deduce that $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\lambda, (k \widehat{\otimes}_R N)^\vee)$ is finite dimensional. Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(k \widehat{\otimes}_R N, \lambda^\vee)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space. It follows from (4) that $k \widehat{\otimes}_R M(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional. The last assertion follows from the right exactness of the functor $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$ and the behaviour of dimension in short exact sequences. ☐

Corollary 2.6. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ *be of finite length. If* $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(k \widehat{\otimes}_R N, \lambda^\vee) = 0$*, then* $M(\lambda) = 0$*.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.4 that $k \widehat{\otimes}_R M(\lambda) = 0$. Topological Nakayama's lemma implies that $M(\lambda) = 0$. ☐

Corollary 2.7. *Let* κ *be a smooth, finitely generated* k*-representation of* G*. If* $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ *is a finitely generated* $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$*-module, then* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee$ *is a finitely generated* R*-module.*

Proof. Since κ is smooth and is finitely generated as a G-representation, there exists a finite length K-subrepresentation λ of κ, such that λ generates κ as a G-representation. In other words, we have a surjection $\text{c-Ind}_K^G \lambda \twoheadrightarrow \kappa$. Since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \lambda, N^\vee) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(\lambda, N^\vee) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \lambda^\vee), \tag{5}$$

we deduce that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee$ is a quotient of $M(\lambda)$. As $M(\lambda)$ is a finitely generated R-module by Corollary 2.5, we deduce that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee$ is a finitely generated R-module. ☐

Corollary 2.8. *Let* κ *be a smooth, finitely generated* k*-representation of* G*, let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be the* R*-annihilator of* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee$ *and assume that* $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ *is a finitely generated* $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$*-module. If* $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, (k \widehat{\otimes}_R N)^\vee) = 1$ *then* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee \cong R/\mathfrak{a}$ *as an* R*-module. Moreover, the Krull dimension of* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee$ *is at most* $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, (N/\mathfrak{m}^2 N)^\vee) - 1$*.*

Proof. The proof of Proposition 2.4 shows that for all finitely generated R-modules m, we have an isomorphism of R-modules:

$$\mathrm{m} \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, (\mathrm{m} \widehat{\otimes}_R N)^\vee)^\vee. \tag{6}$$

Since $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, Corollary 2.7 implies that $M := \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, N^\vee)^\vee$ is a finitely generated R-module. Since $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, (k \widehat{\otimes}_R N)^\vee) = 1$, we deduce from (6) that $k \otimes_R M$ is a one dimensional k-vector space. Nakayama's lemma implies that M can be generated by one element over R, and hence $M \cong R/\mathfrak{a}$. It follows from (6) that $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, (N/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee) = \dim_k M/\mathfrak{m}^2 M$. The isomorphism $M \cong R/\mathfrak{a}$ implies that $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^2) = \dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\kappa, (N/\mathfrak{m}^2 N)) - 1$. Since the dimension of $R/\mathfrak{a}$ is bounded by its embedding dimension, [38, §14], we obtain the result. ☐

Let V be a continuous representation of K on a finite dimensional L-vector space, let Θ be a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in V and let $\Theta^d := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$ and $V^d := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(V, L)$.

Lemma 2.9. $\mathrm{Hom}^{cont}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d)$ *is* p*-adically complete, separated and* $\mathcal{O}$*-torsion free.*

Proof. Since Θ^d is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of finite rank, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \varprojlim \Theta^d/\varpi^n \Theta^d) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d/\varpi^n \Theta^d). \tag{7}$$

By applying $\mathrm{Hom}^{cont}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,*)$ to the exact sequence $0\to\Theta^d\overset{\varpi^n}{\to}\Theta^d\to\Theta^d/\varpi^n\Theta^d\to 0$ we obtain an injection:

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)/\varpi^n\,\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d/\varpi^n\Theta^d)\tag{8}$$

for all $n\geq 1$. It follows from (7) that the composition

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)\to\varprojlim\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)/(\varpi^n)\to\varprojlim\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d/\varpi^n\Theta^d)$$

is an isomorphism, and from (8) that the second arrow is an injection, as $\varprojlim$ is left exact, thus the first arrow in the above equation is an isomorphism. Hence, $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)$ is p-adically complete and separated. Since Θ^d is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, so is $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)$. □

We equip $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)$ with the p-adic topology.

Lemma 2.10. *The R-action on $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)$ is continuous for the p-adic topology on the module.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the action of R on $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)/(\varpi^n)$ is continuous for the discrete topology on the module. This follows from (8) and Lemma 2.1. □

If X is an $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, p-adically complete and separated $\mathcal{O}$-module, then we let $X^d:=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(X,\mathcal{O})$ with the topology of pointwise convergence, that is the coarsest locally convex topology such that for each $x\in X$ the evaluation map at x, $X^d\to\mathcal{O}$, $\phi\mapsto\phi(x)$ is continuous. We will refer to X^d as the Schikhof dual of X. The following is shown in the proof of [44, Lem.5.4]:

Proposition 2.11. *Let X be an $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, p-adically complete and separated $\mathcal{O}$-module. Then for each $n\geq 1$ we have a natural topological isomorphism*

$$X^d/\varpi^n X^d\cong(X/\varpi^n X)^\vee.$$

It follows from the proof of [52, Thm.1.2] that the evaluation map induces a topological isomorphism $X\overset{\cong}{\to}\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(X^d,\mathcal{O})$, for the p-adic topology on the target.

Definition 2.12. *Let $M=M(\Theta)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d),\mathcal{O})$ equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence.*

Lemma 2.13. *M is a compact R-module.*

Proof. Since R is a compact ring, Pontryagin duality induces an anti-equivalence of categories between the discrete and compact R-modules, [28]. This observation combined with Lemma 2.1 and (8) implies that the Pontryagin dual of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)/(\varpi^n)$ is a compact R-module. It follows from Proposition 2.11 that we have a topological isomorphism

$$M\cong\varprojlim(\mathrm{Hom}^{cont}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)/(\varpi^n))^\vee,$$

which implies the claim. □

Since N is compact, $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,V^d)$ with the topology defined by the supremum norm is an L-Banach space (we choose some norm on V). Moreover, it contains $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N,\Theta^d)$ as an open bounded $\mathcal{O}$-lattice. Since M is compact $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(M,L)$ with a supremum norm is an L-Banach space and $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(M,\mathcal{O})$

is a unit ball inside it. It follows from the proof of [52, Thm.1.2] that the evaluation map induces a topological isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, \mathcal{O}). \tag{9}$$

This in turn induces a functorial isomorphism of L-Banach spaces :

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, V^d) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, L). \tag{10}$$

Lemma 2.14. *If N is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ then $M(\Theta)/(\varpi) \cong M(\Theta/(\varpi))$.*

Proof. The projectivity of N implies that (8) is an isomorphism. The assertion follows from Proposition 2.11. □

Proposition 2.15. *If $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module then M is a finitely generated R-module.*

Proof. Nakayama's lemma for compact R-modules implies that M is a finitely generated R-module if and only if $k \widehat{\otimes}_R M$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space. In particular, it is enough to show that $M/\varpi M$ is finitely generated. It follows from (8) and Proposition 2.11 that $M/\varpi M$ is a quotient of $(\mathrm{Hom}^{cont}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d/(\varpi)))^\vee$, which is finitely generated by Corollary 2.5. □

2.1. **Cycles.** Let R, N, V, Θ, and $M = M(\Theta)$ be as in the previous subsection. From now on we assume that $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module. Proposition implies that M is a finitely generated R-module.

Lemma 2.16. *Let Θ_1 and Θ_2 be open K-invariant lattices in V, and let $M_1 = M(\Theta_1)$, $M_2 = M(\Theta_2)$. Then $\mathrm{ann}_R M_1 = \mathrm{ann}_R M_2$. In particular, M_1 and M_2 have the same dimension d (say). Moreover, we have an equality of d-dimensional cycles $z_d(M_1) = z_d(M_2)$.*

Proof. It is enough to consider the case $\varpi^n\Theta_2 \subset \Theta_1 \subset \Theta_2$ for some integer $n \geq 1$, as all the lattices in V are commensurable, since V is a finite dimensional L-vector space. Let C be the cokernel of $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta_1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta_2)$. Then C is killed by ϖ^n, and so $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(C, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}}(C, \mathcal{O})$ is killed by ϖ^n. By applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(*, \mathcal{O})$ we deduce that M_2 is an R-submodule of M_1 and the quotient is killed by ϖ^n, so that $\varpi^n M_1 \subset M_2 \subset M_1$. Since M_1 is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, we deduce that $\mathrm{ann}_R M_1 = \mathrm{ann}_R M_2$. Hence, the modules have the same dimension d. Moreover, since ϖ^n is regular on M_1, the dimension of $M_1/\varpi^n M_1$ is less than the dimension of M_1, and hence the dimension of M_1/M_2 is less than dimension of M_1. This implies that $z_d(M_1/M_2) = 0$, and since cycles are additive in short exact sequences, we get $z_d(M_1) = z_d(M_2)$. □

Proposition 2.17. *Let d be the dimension of $M(\Theta)$. If N is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ then we have an equality of $(d-1)$-dimensional cycles*

$$z_{d-1}(M(\Theta)/(\varpi)) = \sum_{\sigma} m_\sigma z_{d-1}(M(\sigma)),$$

where the sum is taken over the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible k-representations of K, m_σ is the multiplicity with which σ occurs as a subquotient of $\Theta/(\varpi)$ and $M(\sigma)$ is an R-module defined in Definition 2.2.

Remark 2.18. *Recall that Corollary 2.6 implies that if $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, (k \widehat{\otimes}_R N)^\vee) = 0$, then $M(\sigma) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $M(\Theta)$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free, ϖ is $M(\Theta)$-regular, and hence $\dim M(\Theta)/(\varpi) = d-1$. Since N is projective, $M(\Theta)/(\varpi) \cong M(\Theta/(\varpi))$ by Corollary 2.14. The assertion follows from the exactness of the functor $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$, established in Lemma 2.3, and the fact that cycles are additive in short exact sequences. $\square$

2.2. **Banach space representations.** We keep the notation of the previous subsection, and we continue to assume that $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module.

Let m be an $R[1/p]$-module of finite length. Then m is a finite dimensional L-vector space and we may choose an R-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice m^0 in m. Then $\mathrm{m}^0/(\varpi)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space, and in particular an R-module of finite length. Since $k \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, we deduce that $\mathrm{m}^0/(\varpi) \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module. Nakayama's lemma implies that $\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module. Thus

$$\Pi(\mathrm{m}) := \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_R N, L) \tag{11}$$

equipped with the supremum norm is an admissible unitary L-Banach space representation of G, see [52]. The definition does not depend on the choice of m^0, since any two are commensurable.

Lemma 2.19. *The map* $\mathrm{m} \mapsto \Pi(\mathrm{m})$ *defines a left exact, contravariant functor from the category of* $R[1/p]$*-modules of finite length to* $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_G(L)$*, the category of admissible* L*-Banach space representations of* G*.*

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of [45, Lem.4.27]. $\square$

Proposition 2.20. *Let* Θ *be a* K*-invariant lattice in a continuous representation of* K *on a finite dimensional* L*-vector space* V*. Let* m *be an* $R[1/p]$*-module of finite length, and let* $M = M(\Theta)$ *be the module defined in Definition 2.12. Then*

$$\dim_L \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\mathrm{m})) = \dim_L \mathrm{m} \otimes_R M.$$

Proof. Since m^0 is a finitely generated R-module, and R is noetherian there exists an exact sequence $R^{\oplus a} \to R^{\oplus b} \to \mathrm{m} \to 0$. The first arrow is given by multiplication by a matrix A with entries in R. By applying $\widehat{\otimes}_R N$ we get an exact sequence:

$$N^{\oplus a} \xrightarrow{A} N^{\oplus b} \to \mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_R N \to 0. \tag{12}$$

We apply $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(*, \Theta^d)$ to (12) to obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_R N, \Theta^d) \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d)^{\oplus b} \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d)^{\oplus a}. \tag{13}$$

Inverting p gives us an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{E}} N, \Theta^d)_L \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(\widetilde{P}, \Theta^d)^{\oplus b}_L \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d)^{\oplus a}_L, \tag{14}$$

where the last arrow is given by $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ A$. Since $\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_R N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, it follows from [52, Thm.3.5] that Schikhof duality induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_R N, \Theta^d)_L \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\mathrm{m})). \tag{15}$$

Note that, since V is finite dimensional, every L-linear homomorphism is continuous. Similarly to (12) we obtain an exact sequence $M^{\oplus a} \xrightarrow{A} M^{\oplus b} \to \mathrm{m} \otimes_R M \to 0$.

Since M is a finitely generated R-module we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{m}^0 \otimes_R M, L) \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, L)^{\oplus a} \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, L)^{\oplus b}, \tag{16}$$

where the last arrow is given by $\psi \mapsto \psi \circ A$. The exactness of (16) can be deduced from [52] as follows. Since R is a complete commutative local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra there exists a surjection $\mathcal{O}[\![x_1, \dots, x_s]\!] \twoheadrightarrow R$. We may identify $\mathcal{O}[\![x_1, \dots, x_s]\!]$ with the completed group algebra of $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus s}$, and hence we may identify the category of finitely generated $R[1/p]$-modules with a full subcategory of finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![\mathcal{G}]\!][1/p]$-modules, and [52, Thm.3.5] implies that (16) is an exact sequence of admissible unitary L-Banach space representations of $\mathcal{G}$. The assertion of the proposition follows by comparing (14) with (16) via (10). We note that since M is a finitely generated R-module, and m is a finite dimensional L-vector space, $\mathrm{m} \otimes_R M$ is a finite dimensional L-vector space. □

2.3. **Generic freeness.**

Lemma 2.21. *Let* R *be a noetherian ring,* Σ *a Zariski dense subset of* $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathrm{R}$ *and let* M *be a finitely generated* R*-module. Suppose that* $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} \mathrm{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = d$ *for all* $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma$*, then* $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} \mathrm{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = d$ *for all minimal primes of* R*.*

Proof. The function $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} \mathrm{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is upper semicontinuous by [30, Ex.12.7.2], so that $\{x \in X : \varphi(x) \geq n\}$ is a closed subset of X for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since Σ is dense in X, we deduce that $\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) \geq d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in X$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a minimal prime of R. Since Σ is dense there exist some $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma$ containing $\mathfrak{p}$. Now $\mathfrak{q}$ lies in the closure of $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ and so again the upper semicontinuity of φ implies that $d = \varphi(\mathfrak{q}) \geq \varphi(\mathfrak{p})$. □

Lemma 2.22. *Let* R *be a noetherian ring of dimension* d *and let* M *be a faithful, finitely generated* R*-module. Let* Σ *be a dense subset of* $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathrm{R}$*. If*

$$\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M} = 1, \quad \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma,$$

then $z_d(\mathrm{R}) = z_d(\mathrm{M})$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a minimal prime of R. Lemma 2.21 implies that $\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M}$ is a one dimensional $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$-vector space. Nakayama's lemma implies that there exist a surjection $\mathrm{R}_{\mathfrak{q}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$. We claim that $\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a faithful $\mathrm{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}$-module. The claim implies that the surjection is an isomorphism. In particular, $\ell_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathrm{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \ell_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{q}})$, which implies the equality of cycles.

We will prove the claim now. Let $m_1, \dots, m_n$ be the generators of M as an R-module. Then $\frac{m_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{m_n}{1}$ are generators of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ as an $\mathrm{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}$-module. Let $a \in \mathrm{R}$ and $s \in \mathrm{R} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$. Then $\frac{a}{s}$ kills $\mathrm{M}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ if and only if it kills the generators, which is equivalent to the existence of $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathrm{R} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ such that $s_i a m_i = 0$ in M for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $t = s_1 \dots s_n$, then ta kills M. Since M is faithful we obtain that $ta = 0$. Hence the image of a in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is equal to zero. □

Now let R, M and V be as in the previous subsection, and let d be the dimension of M. We will denote by $\text{m-Spec}\, R[1/p]$ the set of maximal ideals of $R[1/p]$.

Proposition 2.23. *If there exists a dense subset* Σ *of* $\mathrm{Supp}\, M$*, which is contained in* $\text{m-Spec}\, R[1/p]$*, such that* $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) = 1$ *for all* $\mathfrak{n} \in \Sigma$ *then we have an equality of* d*-dimensional cycles* $z_d(R/\operatorname{ann}_R M) = z_d(M)$*.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.22 and Proposition 2.20. □

2.4. **Reduceness.** Let R and M as in the previous section. We will devise a criterion for the ring $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$ to be reduced.

Proposition 2.24. *If a local noetherian ring* R *affords a faithful, finitely generated, Cohen-Macaulay module* M *then* R *is equidimensional of dimension equal to* $\dim \mathrm{M}$. *Moreover, every associated prime ideal of* R *is minimal.*

Proof. Since M is Cohen-Macaulay, $\dim \mathrm{R}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim \mathrm{M}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass} \mathrm{M}$, [38, Thm.17.3(i)]. Let $m_1, \dots, m_s$ be a set of generators of M. Since R acts faithfully, the map $\mathrm{R} \to \mathrm{M}^{\oplus s}$, $r \mapsto (rm_1, \dots, rm_s)$ is injective, and hence $\operatorname{Ass} \mathrm{R} \subseteq \operatorname{Ass} \mathrm{M}^{\oplus s} = \operatorname{Ass} \mathrm{M}$, where the last equality follows from [38, Thm.6.3]. We deduce that $\dim \mathrm{R}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim \mathrm{M}$ for all associated prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of R. Since the minimal elements of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}$ and $\operatorname{Ass} \mathrm{R}$ coincide by [38, Thm.6.5(iii)], we deduce that every associated prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of R is minimal and $\dim \mathrm{R}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim \mathrm{R} = \dim \mathrm{M}$. □

Proposition 2.25. *Let* R *be a complete local noetherian* $\mathcal{O}$*-algebra with residue field* k*, which is equidimensional, and every associated prime ideal of* R *is minimal. Let* M *be a finitely generated, faithful* R*-module. If there exists a subset* Σ *of* $\text{m-Spec}\, \mathrm{R}[1/p]$*, such that* Σ *is dense in* $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}$ *and the following hold for all* $\mathfrak{n} \in \Sigma$*:*

(i) $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \kappa(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M} = 1$*;*
(ii) $\ell_{\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2) \le \dim \mathrm{R}$*;*

then $\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}$ *is regular for all* $\mathfrak{n} \in \Sigma$ *and* R *is reduced.*

Proof. Let d be the dimension of R and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a prime in Σ. Since $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \kappa(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{M} = 1$ and M is a faithful R-module, it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.22 that $\mathrm{M}_\mathfrak{n}$ is a free $\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}$-module of rank 1. Since $\ell_{\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}}(\mathrm{M} \otimes_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2) \le d$, we obtain that $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \le d-1$. Since R is a complete local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with residue field k, $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ is a finite extension of L. Thus the image of R in $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-order in $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$, and is one dimensional.

Since complete local noetherian rings are catenary, and we have assumed that R is equidimensional, [38, Thm.31.4] implies that $\dim \mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n} = d-1$. Hence the dimension of $\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}$ is equal to its embedding dimension, and thus $\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}$ is a regular ring, and so $\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{n}$ is reduced.

Since every associated prime is minimal and Σ is dense in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{R}$, above every associated prime of R we may find $\mathfrak{n} \in \Sigma$. Since localization is transitive, and preserves reduceness, the claim implies that $\mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{p}$ is reduced for every associated prime ideal of R. The natural map $\varphi : \mathrm{R} \to \prod_\mathfrak{p} \mathrm{R}_\mathfrak{p}$, where the product is taken over all the associated primes of R, is injective, since the kernel is not supported on any minimal prime ideal of R, and thus $\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathrm{R}}(\operatorname{Ker} \varphi)$ is empty. Hence, the claim implies that we may embed R into a product of reduced rings, which implies that R is reduced. □

Now let R, M and V be as in the previous subsection, and let d be the dimension of M.

Proposition 2.26. *Suppose that* $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$ *is equidimensional and all the associated primes are minimal. If there exists a dense subset* Σ *of* $\operatorname{Supp} M$*, contained in* $\text{m-Spec}\, R[1/p]$*, such that for all* $\mathfrak{n} \in \Sigma$ *the following hold:*

(i) $\dim_L \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) = [\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) : L]$*;*
(ii) $\dim_L \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(R_\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2)) \le [\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) : L] d$*;*

then $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$ *is reduced.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.22 and Proposition 2.25. □

Lemma 2.27. *Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ be an N-regular sequence in R. If $N/\underline{x}N$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module then M is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension $r + 1$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on r. If N is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module, (so that $r = 0$), then $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module of finite rank by [44, Prop.4.8]. The induction step is given by the following. Suppose that $x \in R$ is N-regular, and N/xN is a projective $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module. Then N is a projective $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module by Corollary 2.29 proved below. Moreover, the surjection $N \twoheadrightarrow N/xN$ has an $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-equivariant splitting. Thus the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/xN, \Theta^d) \to \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d) \xrightarrow{x} \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N, \Theta^d) \to 0$$

is exact and the second arrow has a continuous $\mathcal{O}$-equivariant section. Hence, when we apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(*, \mathcal{O})$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{x} M \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/xN, \Theta^d), \mathcal{O}) \to 0.$$

□

2.5. **Projectivity.** We will devise a criterion to check, when a finitely generated $R[\![K]\!]$-module N is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$. Let P be a pro-p Sylow subgroup of K. Then a compact $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module is projective if and only if it is projective as an $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module. Since P is a pro-p group, $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$ is a local ring. Nakayama's lemma for compact modules implies that a compact $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module N is zero if and only if $N \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k = 0$. We let $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(*, k)$ be the i-th left derived functor of $\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k$ in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_P(\mathcal{O})$. Since every object in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_P(\mathcal{O})$ has a projective envelope, a standard argument gives that a compact $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module N is projective if and only if $\mathcal{T}or^1_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N, k) = 0$. We will assume that R is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free.

Proposition 2.28. *If N is a finitely generated $R[\![P]\!]$-module, then $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N, k)$ are finitely generated R-modules for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since R is a compact and torsion free $\mathcal{O}$-module there exists an isomorphism of compact $\mathcal{O}$-modules: $R \cong \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}$, for some set I. Since the completed tensor product commutes with projective limits we have: $R[\![P]\!] \cong R \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}[\![P]\!] \cong \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$. Hence, $R[\![P]\!]$ is a topologically free $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$ module and hence is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_P(\mathcal{O})$. Moreover, $R[\![P]\!] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k \cong R \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!] \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k) \cong R \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} k$ is a finitely generated R-module. Since N is a finitely generated $R[\![P]\!]$-module and $R[\![P]\!]$ is noetherian, there exist a projective resolution of M by free $R[\![P]\!]$-modules of finite rank. Applying $\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k$ to the resolution we obtain a complex of finitely generated R-modules, the homology of which computes $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N, k)$. □

Corollary 2.29. *Let N be a finitely generated $R[\![P]\!]$-module, and let $x \in R$ be N-regular. If N/xN is projective in* $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_P(\mathcal{O})$ *then N is also projective in* $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_P(\mathcal{O})$.

Proof. We apply $\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k$ to the exact sequence $0 \to N \xrightarrow{x} N \to N/xN \to 0$. Since N/xN is projective by assumption, $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N/xN, k) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence, the multiplication by x, $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N, k) \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N, k)$, induces an isomorphism for all $i \geq 1$. Since these are finitely generated R-modules by Lemma 2.28, Nakayama's lemma forces them to vanish. Hence, N is a projective $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module. □

Remark 2.30. *Since P is a pro-p Sylow of K and is open in K, N is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_P(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if it is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$.*

Corollary 2.31. *Let N be an $R[\![P]\!]$-module, which is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$. Let $x \in R$ be N-regular. If N/xN has a finite projective dimension as an $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module, then so does N and $1 + \operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N = \operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N/xN$.*

Proof. Since Nakayama's lemma is available to us, standard arguments, see for example Lemma 1 in [38, §19], show that the projective dimension of N is equal to the length of minimal projective resolution of N, which is equal to $\sup\{i : \mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N,k) \neq 0\}$. The assumption that N is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module implies that $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N,k)$ are finite dimensional k-vector spaces for all $i \geq 0$. If $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N/xN,k) = 0$ then the multiplication map by x, $\mathcal{T}or^{i-1}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N,k) \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{T}or^{i-1}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N,k)$ is an injection and hence an isomorphism, as both the source and the target have the same dimension as k-vector spaces. Proposition 2.28 and Nakayama's lemma imply that $\mathcal{T}or^{i-1}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N,k) = 0$. The same argument implies that if $\mathcal{T}or^i_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N,k) \neq 0$ then the multiplication map by x cannot be injective and hence $\mathcal{T}or^{i+1}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N/xN,k)$ is non-zero. Hence, $1 + \operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N = \operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N/xN$. □

Lemma 2.32. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$ be a system of parameters for $M(\operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1})$, and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the ideal of R generated by it. Then $N/\mathfrak{q}N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = \operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1}$, and let $M(\lambda)$ be the module defined in Definition 2.2. Proposition 2.4 implies that $M(\lambda)/\mathfrak{q}M(\lambda) \cong (\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/\mathfrak{q}N, \lambda^\vee))^\vee$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(N/\mathfrak{q}N, \lambda^\vee) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_K(\operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1}, (N/\mathfrak{q}N)^\vee) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_P(\mathbf{1}, (N/\mathfrak{q}N)^\vee)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}(N/\mathfrak{q}N, k) \cong ((N/\mathfrak{q}N)\, \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}\, k)^\vee.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $M(\lambda)/\mathfrak{q}M(\lambda) \cong (N/\mathfrak{q}N)\, \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]}\, k$ as R-modules. The left hand side of this isomorphism is an R-module of finite length as $\mathfrak{q}$ is generated by a system of parameters of M. Since the residue field of R is k, we deduce that the right hand side is an $\mathcal{O}$-module of finite length, and hence $N/\mathfrak{q}N$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$ by Nakayama's lemma. □

Lemma 2.33. $\dim M(\operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1}) = \max_\sigma\{\dim M(\sigma)\}$*, where the maximum is taken over all smooth irreducible representations of K.*

Proof. Let σ be an irreducible smooth k-representation of K. Since P is a pro-p group, $\sigma^P \neq 0$, and hence σ is a quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1}$. Since the functor $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$ is right exact by Lemma 2.3, $M(\sigma)$ is a quotient of $M(\operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1})$, and hence $\dim M(\operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1}) \geq \dim M(\sigma)$, for all smooth irreducible representations σ. The reverse inequality follows from the last part of Corollary 2.5. □

Proposition 2.34. *Let $x_1, \dots, x_d$ be an N-regular sequence in R and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the ideal of R generated by it. If $x_1, \dots, x_r$ is a system of parameters for $M(\operatorname{Ind}^K_P \mathbf{1})$ and $\operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N/\mathfrak{q}N \leq d - r$, then the following hold:*

(i) $\operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N/\mathfrak{q}N = d - r$;
(ii) *N is projective in* $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$;
(iii) *$M(\Theta)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension* $r + 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{q}'$ be the ideal of R generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_r$. Lemma 2.32 implies that $N/\mathfrak{q}'N$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module. Corollary 2.31 implies that

$$\operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N/\mathfrak{q}'N = \operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N/\mathfrak{q}N - (d - r) \leq 0.$$

Since $N/\mathfrak{q}'N$ is non-zero, the inequality must be an equality. Thus $\operatorname{projdim} N/\mathfrak{q}N = d-r$ and $N/\mathfrak{q}'N$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]$-module. Since P is a pro-p Sylow of K, $N/\mathfrak{q}'N$ is also a projective $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module. Lemma 2.27 implies that $M(\Theta)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension $r+1$. Corollary 2.29 implies that N is projective in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$. □

Corollary 2.35. *Suppose that R is Cohen-Macaulay and N is R-flat. If*

$$\operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k \,\widehat{\otimes}_R\, N + \dim_R M(\operatorname{Ind}_P^K \mathbf{1}) \leq \dim R, \tag{17}$$

then (17) *is an equality, N is projective in* $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$ *and $M(\Theta)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension* $1 + \dim_R M(\operatorname{Ind}_P^K \mathbf{1})$.

Proof. Let $r = \dim_R M(\operatorname{Ind}_P^K \mathbf{1})$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ be a system of parameters for $M(\operatorname{Ind}_P^K \mathbf{1})$. We may extend it to a system of parameters of R, $\underline{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay any system of parameters is R-regular. Since N is R-flat, the sequence $\underline{x}$ is also N-regular. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the ideal of R generated by $\underline{x}$. Since $\mathfrak{q}$ is generated by a system of parameters, $R/\mathfrak{q}$ is an R-module of finite length. Since R is local the irreducible subquotients are isomorphic to k. Since N is R-flat, we deduce that $N/\mathfrak{q}N$ has a filtration with graded pieces isomorphic to $k \,\widehat{\otimes}_R\, N$. This implies that $\operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} N/\mathfrak{q}N = \operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k \,\widehat{\otimes}_R\, N$. The assertion now follows from Proposition 2.34. □

2.6. **Summary.** We sum up the main results of this section. Let R be a complete local noetherian commutative $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with residue field k. Let K be a compact p-adic analytic group and let P be its pro-p Sylow subgroup. Let N be a finitely generated $R[\![K]\!]$-module, let V be a continuous representation of K on a finite dimensional L-vector space, and let Θ be a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in V. In Definition 2.12 we have defined a compact R-module $M(\Theta)$, which is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. Since N is finitely generated over $R[\![K]\!]$, Proposition 2.5 implies that $M(\Theta)$ is a finitely generated R-module. Let d be the Krull dimension of $M(\Theta)$. The d-dimensional cycle of $M(\Theta)$ is independent of the choice of a K-invariant lattice in V by Lemma 2.16. Finally we recall that to each $R[1/p]$-module of finite length m we have associated an admissible unitary L-Banach space representation of K, $\Pi(\mathrm{m})$, see §2.2.

Theorem 2.36. *If the following hold:*

(a) *N is projective in* $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$;
(b) *$R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$ is equidimensional and all the associated primes are minimal;*
(c) *there exists a dense subset Σ of $\operatorname{Supp} M(\Theta)$, contained in* $\text{m-Spec}\, R[1/p]$, *such that for all $\mathfrak{n} \in \Sigma$ the following hold:*
  (i) $\dim_L \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) = [\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) : L]$;
  (ii) $\dim_L \operatorname{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(R_{\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{n}^2)) \leq [\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) : L]d$;

then $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M(\Theta)$ is reduced, of dimension d and we have an equality of $(d-1)$-dimensional cycles

$$z_{d-1}(R/(\varpi)+\operatorname{ann}_R M(\Theta)) = \sum_{\sigma} m_\sigma z_{d-1}(M(\sigma)),$$

where the sum is taken over the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible k-representations of K, m_σ is the multiplicity with which σ occurs as a subquotient of $\Theta/(\varpi)$ and $M(\sigma)$ is an R-module defined in Definition 2.2.

Proof. Let $M = M(\Theta)$. Proposition 2.26 and conditions (b) and (c) imply that $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$ is reduced. Since M is a finitely generated R-module, the dimension of $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$ is equal to the dimension of M, which is d. Proposition 2.23 implies that $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$ and M have the same d-dimensional cycles. Now ϖ is M-regular, and hence $R/\operatorname{ann}_R M$-regular. This implies that $M/(\varpi)$ and $R/(\varpi)+\operatorname{ann}_R M$ have the same $(d-1)$-dimensional cycles, see for example [25, 2.2.10]. The last assertion of the Theorem follows from the Proposition 2.17. □

Remark 2.37. *Let R be a complete local noetherian $\mathcal{O}$-algebra, which is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. Then the minimal primes of R and $\mathrm{R}[1/p]$ coincide. Hence, any dense subset of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathrm{R}[1/p]$ is also dense in $\operatorname{Spec}\mathrm{R}$. Since $\operatorname{Spec}\mathrm{R}[1/p]$ is Jacobson, $\text{m-Spec}\,\mathrm{R}[1/p]$ is dense in $\operatorname{Spec}\mathrm{R}[1/p]$. If R is equidimensional of dimension at least 2 then $\text{m-Spec}\,\mathrm{R}[1/p]$ minus finitely many points is dense in $\operatorname{Spec}\mathrm{R}[1/p]$.*

The following will allow us to check the conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.36.

Theorem 2.38. *Suppose that R is Cohen-Macaulay and N is R-flat. If*

$$\operatorname{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![P]\!]} k\widehat{\otimes}_R N + \max_\sigma\{\dim_R M(\sigma)\} \le \dim R, \tag{18}$$

where the maximum is taken over all the irreducible smooth k-representations of K, and $M(\sigma)$ is an R-module defined in Definition 2.2, then the following hold:

- (o) (18) *is an equality;*
- (i) *N is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_K(\mathcal{O})$;*
- (ii) *$M(\Theta)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module;*
- (iii) *$R/\operatorname{ann}_R M(\Theta)$ is equidimensional, and all the associated prime ideals are minimal.*

Proof. Parts (o), (i) and (ii) follow from Lemma 2.33 and Corollary 2.35. Part (iii) follows from Proposition 2.24. □

3. PRELIMINARIES ON THE REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

From now on we assume that $p \ge 5$ and let $G := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $K := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and let $K_1 \subset I_1 \subset I$ be the subgroups of K, consisting of matrices congruent modulo p to identity, unipotent upper triangular matrices and upper triangular matrices respectively. We let $\mathfrak{K}$ be the G-normalizer of I. Let P be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in G, U the subgroup of unipotent upper triangular matrices in G, and T the subgroup of diagonal matrices in G. If χ_1 and χ_2 are characters of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, then we write $\chi_1\otimes\chi_2$ for a character of P, which maps $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to $\chi_1(a)\chi_2(d)$.

Let Z be the centre of G, so that $Z \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. We fix a character $\zeta : Z \to \mathcal{O}^\times$. We let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{G,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_G(\mathcal{O})$ consisting of representations with central character ζ, by which we mean that Z acts by ζ. We say that $\tau \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{G,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ is *locally finite* if for all $v\in\tau$ the $\mathcal{O}[G]$-submodule generated by v is of finite length.

We let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{G,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ consisting of all locally finite representations. We let $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{G}(\mathcal{O})$ anti-equivalent to $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ by Pontryagin duality. We will denote by $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(k)$ the full subcategories of $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ respectively, consisting of objects which are killed by ϖ, so that we work with k-vector spaces instead of $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules.

Let $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ be the category of admissible unitary L-Banach space representations of G with central character ζ. This category is abelian, see [52]. A representation Π in $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ is absolutely irreducible, if $\Pi_{L'}$ is irreducible in $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L')$ for all finite extensions L' of L. An absolutely irreducible Banach space representation is called non-ordinary if it is not a subquotient of a parabolic induction of a unitary character. If Θ is an open bounded G-invariant lattice in $\Pi \in \mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ then $\Theta^d := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$ equipped with the weak topology is an object of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, see [45, Lem.4.4, 4.6].

Let $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the Weil group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $W^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be its maximal abelian quotient. We normalize the isomorphism given by the local class field theory $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \overset{\cong}{\to} W^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ so that uniformizers correspond to geometric Frobenii. This allows us to consider characters of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as characters of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, and unitary p-adic characters of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, or characters of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ which have finite image as characters of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. With this identification the p-adic cyclotomic character is identified with the character $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$, $x \mapsto x|x|$, and we denote its reduction modulo ϖ by ω.

In [16], Colmez has defined an exact and covariant functor $\mathbf{V}$ from the category of smooth, finite length representations of G on $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules with a central character to the category of continuous finite length representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $\mathcal{O}$-torsion modules. This functor enables us to make the connection between the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ worlds. We modify Colmez's functor to obtain an exact covariant functor $\check{\mathbf{V}} : \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\mathcal{O})$ as follows. Let M be in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, if it is of finite length then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(M) := \mathbf{V}(M^\vee)^\vee(\varepsilon\zeta)$, where $\vee$ denotes the Pontryagin dual. In general, we may write $M \cong \varprojlim M_i$, where the limit is taken over all quotients of finite length in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ and we define $\check{\mathbf{V}}(M) := \varprojlim \check{\mathbf{V}}(M_i)$. Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$ be absolutely irreducible, then $\pi^\vee$ is an object of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, and if π is supersingular in the sense of [1], then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) \cong \mathbf{V}(\pi)$ is an absolutely irreducible continuous representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ associated to π by Breuil in [5]. If $\pi \cong \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\omega^{-1}$ then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) \cong \chi_1$. If $\pi \cong \chi \circ \det$ then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) = 0$ and if $\pi \cong \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \chi \circ \det$, where Sp is the Steinberg representation, then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) \cong \chi$. If $\Pi \in \mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ we let $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) := \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Theta^d) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$, where Θ is any open bounded G-invariant lattice in Π. So that $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ is exact and contravariant on $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$.

4.

Let $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be a continuous representation of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, such that $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) = k$. Let $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$ be a continuous character, such that $\psi = \zeta\varepsilon$. We assume that ζ is such that $\psi \equiv \det \rho \pmod{\varpi}$. Let R^ψ_ρ be the universal deformation ring, solving the deformation problem of ρ with a fixed determinant equal to ψ, and let ρ^{un} be the universal deformation of ρ with determinant ψ. For the rest of the section we assume that we are given N in

$\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ and a continuous homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$-algebras $R^{\psi}_{\rho} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(N)$ such that the following are satisfied:

- (N0) $k \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N$ is of finite length in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, and is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$;
- (N1) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathbf{1}, N^{\vee}) = 0$;
- (N2) $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ and ρ^{un} are isomorphic as $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[\![G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]\!]$-modules.

Remark 4.1. *If* m *is a compact* R^{ψ}_{ρ}*-module, then* [45, Lem. 5.49] *implies that*

$$\check{\mathbf{V}}(\mathrm{m} \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N) \cong \mathrm{m} \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N). \tag{19}$$

If m *is finitely generated over* R^{ψ}_{ρ} *then the completed tensor product coincides with the usual one. One can show using* $k \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N) \cong \check{\mathbf{V}}(k \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)$ *that (N1) and (N2) imply (N0), but we add this condition for simplicity.*

For each finite length $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$-module m, we let $\Pi(\mathrm{m})$ be the admissible unitary L-Banach space representation of $G := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ defined by (11).

Lemma 4.2. *Let* m *be an* $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$*-module of finite length, then*

$$\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\mathrm{m})) \cong \mathrm{m} \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N).$$

Proof. Let m^0 be a finitely generated R^{ψ}_{ρ}-submodule of m, which is an $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in m. It follows from (N0) and [45, Lem. 4.25] that the maximal torsion free quotient $(\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tf}}$ of $\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N$ is an object of $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$. We have

$$\Pi(\mathrm{m}) = \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N, L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}((\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tf}}, L)$$

with the supremum norm. Then $\Xi := \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}}((\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tf}}, \mathcal{O})$ is a unit ball in $\Pi(\mathrm{m})$. It follows from [52] that $\Xi^d \cong (\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tf}}$. We thus have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to (\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tors}} \to \mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N \to \Xi^d \to 0 \tag{20}$$

Applying $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ to (20) and using (19) we obtain an exact sequence of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-representations:

$$0 \to \check{\mathbf{V}}((\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tors}}) \to \mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N) \to \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Xi^d) \to 0 \tag{21}$$

Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is isomorphic to ρ^{un} as a $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[\![G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]\!]$-module, it is a free R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module of rank 2. In particular, $\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{m}^0 \oplus \mathrm{m}^0$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module, which implies that it is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}((\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tors}})$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-torsion module, we deduce that $\check{\mathbf{V}}((\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)_{\mathrm{tors}}) = 0$, and hence $\mathrm{m}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N) \cong \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Xi^d)$, and by inverting p we obtain $\mathrm{m} \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N) \cong \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi)$. ☐

Lemma 4.3. $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = 0$, $\forall \mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$.

Proof. If $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ is non-zero, then dually we obtain a non-zero, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N \to \mathcal{O}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})}$, where the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts trivially on the target. Reducing this map modulo ϖ and taking Pontryagin duals gives us a non-zero, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant homomorphism from $\mathbf{1}$ to $N^{\vee}$, which contradicts (N1). ☐

The proof of the following Lemma is left as an exercise for the reader.

Lemma 4.4. *Let W be a continuous 2-dimensional L-representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and let M be a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in W. Then the following hold:*

(i) *if $M/\varpi M$ is an absolutely irreducible k-representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ then W is absolutely irreducible;*
(ii) *if $(M/\varpi M)^{ss}$ is a sum of distinct characters and W is irreducible then W is absolutely irreducible;*
(iii) *if $M/\varpi M$ is a non-split extension of distinct characters and W is reducible then W is indecomposable.*

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\Pi \in \mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ be irreducible, then $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts trivially on Π . If $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) \neq 0$, then Π is uniquely determined by $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi)$. Moreover, $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi)$ is an absolutely irreducible 2-dimensional $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-representation if and only if Π is absolutely irreducible and non-ordinary, and $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) \cong \delta_1$, where $\delta_1 : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to L^\times$ is a character, if and only if one of the following holds:*

(i) *If $\delta_1^2 \neq \zeta$, then $\Pi \cong \left(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_1 \otimes \delta_2\varepsilon^{-1}\right)_{cont}$;*
(ii) *If $\delta_1^2 = \zeta$, then $\Pi \cong \widehat{\mathrm{St}} \otimes \delta_1 \circ \det$;*

where $\delta_2 := \delta_1^{-1}\zeta\varepsilon$, and $\widehat{\mathrm{St}}$ is the universal unitary completion of the smooth Steinberg representation of G.

Proof. If Π is not absolutely irreducible then after extending scalars to a finite extension of L it is isomorphic to a direct sum of absolutely irreducible representations. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi)$ is assumed to be absolutely irreducible, it is enough to prove the Lemma when Π is absolutely irreducible, which we know assume. If Π is ordinary then it is a subquotient of a parabolic induction of a unitary character, and hence either $\Pi \cong \left(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_1 \otimes \delta_2\varepsilon^{-1}\right)_{cont}$ with $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2\varepsilon^{-1}$, $\Pi \cong \widehat{\mathrm{St}} \otimes \delta_1 \circ \det$ or $\Pi \cong \delta_1 \circ \det$, where $\delta_1, \delta_2 : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$ are unitary characters, see [23, 5.3.4]. Since we assume that Π has central character ζ, we have $\delta_1\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1} = \zeta$ and $\delta_1^2 = \zeta$, respectively. It follows from the definition of $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ that in the first two cases we have $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) \cong \delta_1$, and $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) = 0$ if Π is a character. If Π is non-ordinary then it follows from [45] that Π is isomorphic to the L-Banach space representation of G constructed out of a (φ, Γ)-module corresponding to an absolutely irreducible representation of $\tilde{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ by Colmez in [16], in which case $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) \cong \tilde{\rho}$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\Pi \in \mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ be such that $\Pi^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = 0$. If $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi)$ is an absolutely irreducible representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ then Π is absolutely irreducible and non-ordinary. If $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) = \delta_1$ is a character, with $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \neq \varepsilon^{\pm 1}$, where $\delta_2 := \delta_1^{-1}\psi$, then $\Pi \cong \left(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_1 \otimes \delta_2\varepsilon^{-1}\right)_{cont}$.*

Proof. Since Π is admissible it contains a closed irreducible subrepresentation Π_1. If $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi_1) = 0$ then $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ would act trivially on Π_1, which contradicts $\Pi^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = 0$. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi)$ is irreducible, we deduce that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi) \cong \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi_1)$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that either Π_1 is absolutely irreducible non-ordinary, or $\Pi_1 \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_1 \otimes \delta_2\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont}$. We claim that $\Pi \cong \Pi_1$. If not then let Π_2 be an irreducible closed subrepresentation of Π/Π_1. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ is exact, we have $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi_2) = 0$, and hence $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts trivially on Π_2. However, we know from [45] that there are no extensions between characters and non-ordinary Banach space representations of G, or $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_1 \otimes \delta_2\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont}$, when $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \neq \varepsilon^{\pm 1}$. Hence, any sequence $0 \to \Pi_1 \to E \to \Pi_2 \to 0$ must split, which implies that Π_2 is a subrepresentation of Π, which contradicts $\Pi^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = 0$. □

Proposition 4.7. *For almost all*[1] $\mathfrak{n}\in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$*, the* $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$*-Banach space representation* $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ *is either absolutely irreducible non-ordinary or there exists a non-split extension*

$$0\rightarrow \big(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_1\otimes\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1}\big)_{cont}\rightarrow \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))\rightarrow \big(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \delta_2\otimes\delta_1\varepsilon^{-1}\big)_{cont}\rightarrow 0, \tag{22}$$

where $\delta_1,\delta_2:\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\rightarrow\kappa(\mathfrak{n})^{\times}$ *are unitary characters, such that* $\delta_1\delta_2=\zeta\varepsilon$ *and* $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1}\neq \mathbf{1},\varepsilon^{\pm 1}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a maximal ideal of $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$ and $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ be its residue field. Lemma 4.2 implies that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))\cong\kappa(\mathfrak{n})\otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is isomorphic to ρ^{un} as an $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[\![G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]\!]$-module by assumption (N2), $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})}\otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is a deformation of ρ to $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})}$. Since $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho)=k$ by assumption, ρ is either absolutely irreducible, or a non-split extension of two distinct one dimensional k-representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})}\otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is a lattice in $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})\otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}}\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)\cong\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$, Lemma 4.4 implies that either $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$ is an absolutely irreducible $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, or we have a non-split exact sequence $0\rightarrow\delta_2\rightarrow\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))\rightarrow\delta_1\rightarrow 0$, where $\delta_1,\delta_2:G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\rightarrow\kappa(\mathfrak{n})^{\times}$ are distinct, continuous characters. Since we consider only the deformations with a fixed determinant, the determinant of $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$ is equal to ψ. In particular, in the reducible case this forces $\delta_1\delta_2=\zeta\varepsilon$.

Since $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}=0$ by Lemma 4.3, if $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$ is an absolutely irreducible $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is an absolutely irreducible, non-ordinary $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-Banach space representation of G by Lemma 4.6.

Let us assume that we have a non-split exact sequence $0\rightarrow\delta_2\rightarrow\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))\rightarrow\delta_1\rightarrow 0$. The representation $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ cannot be absolutely irreducible, since this would imply that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$ is absolutely irreducible. Thus there exists a finite extension L' of $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ such that if we extend scalars to L', $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))_{L'}$ has an absolutely irreducible closed subrepresentation Π_1. We then have a surjection $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))_{L'}\twoheadrightarrow\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi_1)$. Lemma 4.5 implies that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi_1)\cong\delta_1$. Since we have assumed that $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1}\neq\varepsilon^{\pm 1}$, Lemma 4.5 implies that $\Pi_1\cong(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_1\otimes\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont}$. Since δ_1, ε and ψ are all defined over $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$, so is δ_2, and we deduce that Π_1 can be defined over $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$. Since L' is a finite extension of $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$, this implies that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G^{\mathrm{cont}}((\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_1\otimes\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont},\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))_{L'}\cong\mathrm{Hom}_G^{\mathrm{cont}}(\Pi_1,\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))_{L'}).$$

Hence, $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_1\otimes\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont}$ is a closed $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-subrepresentation of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$. Lemma 4.6 implies that $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_1\otimes\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont}$ is the maximal subrepresentation of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ with respect to the property that its image under $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ is equal to δ_1. This implies that $\Pi_2^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}=0$, where Π_2 is the quotient of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ by $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_1\otimes\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont}$. Moreover, the exactness of $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ implies that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi_2)\cong\delta_2$, and hence Π_2 is isomorphic to $(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_2\otimes\delta_1\varepsilon^{-1})_{cont}$ by Lemma 4.6. If the exact sequence

$$0\rightarrow\big(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_1\otimes\delta_2\varepsilon^{-1}\big)_{cont}\rightarrow\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))\rightarrow\big(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G\delta_2\otimes\delta_1\varepsilon^{-1}\big)_{cont}\rightarrow 0,$$

of Banach space representations splits, then so does the sequence of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-representations, obtained by applying $\check{\mathbf{V}}$, which is a contradiction. □

Remark 4.8. *Since by assumption* $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho)=k$*, in Proposition 4.7 we only exclude those* $\mathfrak{n}$*, for which* $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}\cong\begin{pmatrix}\chi\varepsilon & *\\ 0 & \chi\end{pmatrix}$*, which implies that* $\rho\cong\begin{pmatrix}\bar{\chi}\omega & *\\ 0 & \bar{\chi}\end{pmatrix}$*, or* $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}\cong$

---

[1] This means 'all except for finitely many' throughout the text.

$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\chi & * \\ 0 & \chi\varepsilon\end{smallmatrix}\right)$*, which implies that* $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\bar{\chi} & * \\ 0 & \bar{\chi}\omega\end{smallmatrix}\right)$*, for some character* $\chi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to L^\times$ *such that* $\chi^2 = \zeta$*. In particular, for a fixed* ζ *we exclude only finitely many* $\mathfrak{n}$*. In these cases, it can be shown using* [45, 10.107(i)] *that the semi-simplification of* $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ *is isomorphic to* $(\widehat{\mathrm{St}} \oplus (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon^{-1})_{cont} \oplus \mathbf{1}^{\oplus m}) \otimes \chi \circ \det$*, where* m *is at most* 2 *and* $\widehat{\mathrm{St}}$ *is the universal unitary completion of a smooth Steinberg representation of* G *over* L*.*

4.1. **Locally algebraic vectors.** We keep the same setup as in §4. We fix a p-adic Hodge type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ as in §1. We will show that part (c) (i) of Theorem 2.36 holds when $V = \sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ or $V = \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ by using the description of locally algebraic vectors in the Banach space representations $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$, due to Colmez [16] and Emerton [24]. This will allow us to reprove the existence of potentially semi-stable Galois deformation rings in our situation.

Lemma 4.9. *Let* π_1*,* π_2 *be smooth representations of an open subgroup* H *of* G *and let* W_1*,* W_2 *be irreducible algebraic representations of* G*. If* $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi_1 \otimes W_1, \pi_2 \otimes W_2) \neq 0$ *then* $W_1 \cong W_2 \cong W$ *(say) and* $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi_1 \otimes W, \pi_2 \otimes W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi_1, \pi_2)$*.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi_1 \otimes W_1, \pi_2 \otimes W_2) \neq 0$, then since π_1 and π_2 are smooth, we may find an open subgroup H' of H, such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{H'}(W_1, W_2) \neq 0$. This implies that $W_1 \cong W_2$, since both representations are irreducible as representations of the Lie algebra of G.

Let us assume that $W_1 \cong W_2 \cong W$. When π_1 is the trivial representation, the statement is shown in [49, Lem.1.4]. Let $\mathrm{Hom}_L(W, \pi_2 \otimes W)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ denote the smooth vectors for the action of H by conjugation on $\mathrm{Hom}_L(W, \pi_2 \otimes W)$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_L(W, \pi_2 \otimes W)^{\mathrm{sm}} = \bigcup_{H'} \mathrm{Hom}_{H'}(W, \pi_2 \otimes W) \cong \bigcup_{H'} \pi_2^{H'} \cong \pi_2$, where the union is taken over all the open subgroups of H. Since π_1 is smooth, $\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi_1 \otimes W, \pi_2 \otimes W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi_1, \mathrm{Hom}_L(W, \pi_2 \otimes W)^{\mathrm{sm}}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi_1, \pi_2)$. □

Lemma 4.10. *Let* W *be an irreducible algebraic representation of* G*, and let* π_1*,* π_2 *be smooth representations of* G *over* L*, then tensoring with* W *induces an isomorphism* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\pi_1, \pi_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Ext}^1_G(\pi_1 \otimes W, \pi_2 \otimes W)$*, where the source is computed in the category of smooth representations, and the target is computed in the category of locally algebraic representations. The same result holds, if we require the extensions to have central character.*

Proof. We interpret both Ext-groups as Yoneda-Ext. It follows from Lemma 4.9 that the functor $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{sm}}_L(W, *)$ provides the inverse. □

Proposition 4.11. *If* $V = \sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ *or* $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ *then* $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \leq 1$ *for almost all* $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathrm{m\text{-}Spec}\, R^\psi_\rho[1/p]$*. Moreover,* $\dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) = 1$ *if and only if* $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ *is potentially semi-stable (resp. potentially crystalline) of type* $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$*.*

Proof. Since V is a locally algebraic representation of K, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}), \tag{23}$$

where $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ denotes the subspace of locally algebraic vectors in $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$. Thus, if $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \neq 0$ then $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is non-zero.

If $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is an absolutely irreducible $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-Banach space representation of G, Colmez in [16, VI.6.50] and Emerton in [24, 3.3.22] have shown that $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \pi \otimes W$, where π is either supercuspidal, smooth principal series or smooth special series and W is an irreducible algebraic representation of G. Lemma 4.9 and (23) imply that $W \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det^a$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma(\tau), \pi)$ if $V = \sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau), \pi)$ if $V = \sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$. Henniart has shown in [31] that these spaces are at most one dimensional. Moreover, $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{WD}(\pi)|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau$, where $\mathrm{WD}(\pi)$ is the Weil-Deligne representation associated to π via the classical local Langlands correspondence. The only case, when $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau) \ncong \sigma(\tau)$ is when $\tau \cong \chi \oplus \chi$, which is also the only case, when the monodromy operator N of $\mathrm{WD}(\pi)$ can be non-trivial. In this case $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau) \cong \chi \circ \det$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if π is a twist of an unramified principal series representation by $\chi \circ \det$, which is equivalent to the monodromy operator $N = 0$. Since $\mathbf{V}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \cong \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$ by [45, Lem.5.48], and $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \cong \rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ by Lemma 4.2, the assertion of the Proposition for irreducible $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ follows from [16, VI.6.50] and [24, 3.3.22].

Let us assume that we have a non-split extension $0 \to \Pi_1 \to \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})) \to \Pi_2 \to 0$ as in (22). So that $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} \neq \mathbf{1}, \varepsilon^{\pm 1}$, which implies that Π_1, Π_2 are absolutely irreducible and non-isomorphic. It is shown in [45, Lem.11.5] that $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} \neq 0$, if and only if $\delta_1 = \chi_1\varepsilon^a$, $\delta_2 = \chi_2\varepsilon^b$, with $b > a$ and $\chi_1, \chi_2 : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$ are smooth characters, in which case $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_1|\centerdot|^a \otimes \chi_2|\centerdot|^{b-1})_{\mathrm{sm}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det^a$. Since Π_1 has central character ζ, we have $\chi_1\chi_2\varepsilon^{b+a} = \zeta\varepsilon$. In particular, if $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} \neq 0$ then $\Pi_2^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$ and hence $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}} = \Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}}$. As $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}} \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi_2\varepsilon^b & * \\ 0 & \chi_1\varepsilon^a \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, it is of type $((a,b), \chi_1 \oplus \chi_2, \psi)$. Hence, if $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} \neq 0$ we are done.

To finish the proof we need to show that if $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$ then $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$, since if $\mathrm{Hom}_G(V, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, \Pi_2) \neq 0$, then $\delta_1 = \chi_1\varepsilon^b$, $\delta_2 = \chi_2\varepsilon^a$, for some smooth unitary characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$ and $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}} \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi_2\varepsilon^a & * \\ 0 & \chi_1\varepsilon^b \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, which is not potentially semi-stable as $b > a$. If $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}} \neq 0$ and $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$ then $\Pi_2^{\mathrm{alg}} \neq 0$. We claim that the map $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}} \to \Pi_2^{\mathrm{alg}}$ is surjective. Since $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} = 0$ the claim implies that the map is an isomorphism. However, [9, 2.2.1] implies that the universal unitary completion of Π_2^{alg} is isomorphic to Π_2, which implies that the sequence splits, leading to a contradiction. To prove the claim it is enough to consider the case, when Π_2^{alg} is reducible. Since $\Pi_2^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_2|\centerdot|^a \otimes \chi_1|\centerdot|^{b-1})_{\mathrm{sm}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \det^a \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1|\centerdot|^{b-a-1})_{\mathrm{sm}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{b-a-1} L^2 \otimes \varepsilon^a \circ \det$, either 1) $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ and $a = b+1$, which implies $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} = \varepsilon$, or 2) $\chi_2 = \chi|\centerdot|$ and $\chi_1 = \chi|\centerdot|^{b-a}$, for some smooth $\chi : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$. Since χ_1 and χ_2 are unitary we deduce that $b = a+1$ and hence $\delta_1\delta_2^{-1} = \varepsilon$. Hence, the cases we don't deal with are covered by the finitely many exceptions made in Propositions 4.7. $\square$

Let us note the following Corollary to the proof of Proposition 4.11.

Corollary 4.12. *For almost all* $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}$, $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ *is an irreducible representation of* G. *Moreover, if* $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ *is reducible in* $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ *and* $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ *is non-zero, then there exists a closed absolutely irreducible* $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$*-Banach space* G*-subrepresentation* Π_1 *of* $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ *such that* $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ *and* Π_1 *is isomorphic to the universal unitary completion of* Π_1^{alg}.

Theorem 4.13. *We assume the existence of* N *satisfying (N0), (N1), (N2) in §4. For each type* $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ *there exists a reduced,* $\mathcal{O}$*-torsion free quotient* $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$

(resp. $R^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$*) of* R^{ψ}_{ρ} *such that for each* $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$*,* $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ *is potentially semi-stable (resp. potentially crystalline) of type* $(\mathbf{w},\tau,\psi)$ *if and only if* $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$ *(resp.* $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$*).*

Moreover, if we let Θ *be a* K*-invariant* $\mathcal{O}$*-lattice in* $\sigma(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ *(resp.* $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$*) and* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be the* R^{ψ}_{ρ}*-annihilator of* $M(\Theta)$*, defined in 2.12, then* $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ *(resp.* $R^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$*) is isomorphic to* $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$*.*

Proof. Let us assume that either $b-a>1$ or $\tau \neq \chi\oplus\chi$ in order to avoid the ideals omitted in Proposition 4.7, see Remark 4.8. Although one can deal with these exceptions, if $b-a=1$ and $\tau\cong\chi\oplus\chi$ then it is easier to deduce the statement from [10, Thm.5.3.1(i)]. Under the assumption, Propositions 2.20 and 4.11 imply that $\mathfrak{n}\in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$ lies in the support of $M(\Theta)$ if and only if $\rho^{\mathrm{un}}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is potentially semi-stable (resp. potentially crystalline) of type $(\mathbf{w},\tau,\psi)$. This implies that $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the required ring. □

Remark 4.14. *We will verify the existence of such* N *in §5.1 and §5.2.*

4.2. **Locally algebraic vectors in extensions.** We will reformulate the condition (c)(ii) in Theorem 2.36 in terms of locally algebraic vectors in extensions of Banach space representations. We will verify the condition in the crystabeline, and in the semi-stable, non-crystalline cases. The condition is verified by Dospinescu in [18] in general.

Definition 4.15. *We will say that an absolutely irreducible* Π *in* $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ *satisfies the hypothesis (RED), if* $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}\neq 0$ *and the following statement holds:*

(RED) *The subspace* $\mathcal{E}$ *of* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Pi,\Pi)$*, generated by the extensions* $0\to\Pi\to E\to\Pi\to 0$*, such that the corresponding sequence of locally algebraic vectors* $0\to\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}\to E^{\mathrm{alg}}\to\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}\to 0$ *is exact, is at most one dimensional.*

Lemma 4.16. *Let* Π *be in* $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ *be absolutely irreducible, satisfying (RED) and such that* Π^{alg} *is irreducible. Let*

$$0\to\Pi\to E\to\Pi^{\oplus n}\to 0 \tag{24}$$

be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$*, such that* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi,E)$ *is one dimensional. If* V *is either* $\sigma(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ *or* $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ *then* $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,E)$ *is at most* 2*-dimensional.*

Proof. We may assume that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,\Pi)$ is non-zero. Since Π^{alg} is irreducible by assumption, Lemma 4.9 and [31] imply that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,\Pi)$ is one dimensional. Since Π is absolutely irreducible $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi,\Pi)$ is also 1-dimensional. In particular, the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi,\Pi)\to\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,\Pi)$ is an isomorphism. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi,*)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,*)$ to (24) we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi,\Pi^{\oplus n}) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Pi,\Pi) \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_K(V,\Pi^{\oplus n}) \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{K,\zeta}(V,\Pi),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Ext^1 is the Yoneda Ext computed in $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ and $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{K,\zeta}(L)$ respectively. It follows from the diagram that $\dim\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta\le\dim\mathrm{Ker}\,\alpha$. Since Π^{alg} is irreducible, the subspace $\mathcal{E}$, defined in (RED), is equal to $\mathrm{Ker}\,\alpha$. Since (RED) holds we deduce that $\dim\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta\le 1$, which implies the assertion. □

Lemma 4.17. *Let* Π *be in* $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ *be absolutely irreducible, satisfying (RED). Suppose that* Π^{alg} *is irreducible, and* Π *is isomorphic to the universal unitary completion of* Π^{alg}.

Let $0 \to \Pi_1 \to \Pi_2 \to \Pi_3 \to 0$ *be an exact sequence in* $\mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$*, such that* $\Pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}$, $\Pi_3^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong (\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}})^{\oplus n}$ *and* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi, \Pi_2)$ *is one dimensional. If* V *is either* $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ *or* $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ *then* $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi_2)$ *is at most* 2*-dimensional.*

Proof. Let Π' be the closure of Π_2^{alg} in Π_2. Since Π' and Π_2 have the same locally algebraic vectors, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_K(V, \Pi')$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi, \Pi')$ is one dimensional. The assumptions on Π_1^{alg}, Π_3^{alg} and the irreducibility of Π^{alg} imply that we have an exact sequence $0 \to \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \to \Pi_2^{\mathrm{alg}} \to (\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}})^{\oplus m} \to 0$. Since Π is the universal unitary completion of Π^{alg}, and is irreducible, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to \Pi \to \Pi' \to \Pi^{\oplus m} \to 0$. The assertion follows from Lemma 4.16. $\square$

Theorem 4.18. *Let* $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$ *be such that Proposition 4.7 applies, and let* Π *be the unique non-zero closed irreducible* $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$*-Banach space* G*-subrepresentation of* $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$*. If* $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \neq 0$ *then* Π *satisfies (RED).*

Proof. We know that $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \pi \otimes W$, where π is an irreducible smooth representation of G and W an irreducible algebraic representation of G.

If π is principal series, which includes the case when $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$ is reducible, then Π is the universal unitary completion of Π^{alg} by [3, 5.3.4], [42] and [9, 2.2.1]. Hence, taking locally algebraic vectors induces an injection $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\pi \otimes W, \pi \otimes W)$, where the target is computed in the category of locally algebraic representation with central character ζ. Lemma 4.10 implies that tensoring with W induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta_\pi}(\pi, \pi) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\pi \otimes W, \pi \otimes W)$, where ζ_π is the central character of π, and the source is computed in the category of smooth representations with central character ζ_π. Using the projective resolution of π, constructed in [48], one may show that this space is one dimensional.

If π is special series this is shown in §6 below, by analyzing the self extensions of the locally analytic vectors in Π.

If π is supercuspidal, which is the hardest case, this is proved by Dospinescu in [18]. $\square$

Corollary 4.19. *We assume the existence of* N *satisfying (N0), (N1), (N2) in §4 and let* V *be either* $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ *or* $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$*. Then Theorem 2.36 (c) (ii) holds for almost all* $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{m-Spec}\, R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$.

Proof. The exact sequence $0 \to \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \to R^{\psi}_{\rho,\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{n}^2 \to \kappa(\mathfrak{n}) \to 0$ leads to an exact sequence of unitary Banach space representations of G: $0 \to \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})) \to \Pi(R^{\psi}_{\rho,\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{n}^2) \to \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\oplus d} \to 0$, where $d := \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{n})} \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$. Let Π be the unique non-zero $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$-Banach subrepresentation of $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))$. Then Π^{alg} is irreducible and is isomorphic to $\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))^{\mathrm{alg}}$ by Corollary 4.12 and Π satisfies (RED) by Theorem 4.18, so if $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi, \Pi(R^{\psi}_{\rho,\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{n}^2))$ is one dimensional then the assertion follows from Lemma 4.17. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))/\Pi, \Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))) = 0$, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})), \Pi(R^{\psi}_{\rho,\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{n}^2))$ is at most one dimensional. It is enough to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)[1/p]/\mathfrak{n}^2, \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))))$ is one dimensional. Since $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)$ is the universal deformation of ρ, the $\mathfrak{n}$-adic completion of $\check{\mathbf{V}}(N)[1/p]$ is the universal deformation of $\kappa(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \check{\mathbf{V}}(N) \cong \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$ and the $\mathfrak{n}$-adic completion of $R^{\psi}_{\rho}[1/p]$

is the universal deformation ring for this problem. The statement about homomorphisms is then a formal consequence of deformation theory, more precisely it follows from the isomorphism $(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2)^* \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},\psi}(\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))), \check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n}))))$, where the subscript ψ indicates that we only consider those extensions, which when considered as deformations of $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\Pi(\kappa(\mathfrak{n})))$ to $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})[\epsilon]$ have determinant equal to $\zeta\varepsilon$, see the proof of Lemma 5.19, where this is explained in detail. $\square$

5. Proof of the conjecture

Let ρ and ψ be as in §4. In this section we will construct N satisfying the hypotheses (N0), (N1) and (N2) of §4, and parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.36. This will allow us to use the formalism developed in §2 and prove the Breuil-Mézard conjecture. The proof splits into two cases: we will say that ρ is generic, if it is either irreducible, or $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, with $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \neq \omega, \mathbf{1}$. The assumption implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\chi_2, \chi_1)$ is one dimensional, hence ρ is uniquely determined by the ordered pair (χ_1, χ_2). Since we assume that $\mathrm{End}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho) = k$, the only case not covered by the above is when $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi\omega & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We will refer to this case as non-generic. This division is somewhat artificial, but there are some shortcuts in the generic cases, which we would like to use.

5.1. **Generic case.** We assume that ρ is either irreducible, in which case we let π be the unique smooth irreducible k-representation of G with central character ζ, such that $\mathbf{V}(\pi) \cong \rho$, or equivalently $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) \cong \rho$, or $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ non-split, with $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \neq \mathbf{1}, \omega$, in which case we let $\pi := \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\omega^{-1}$, so that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\pi^\vee) = \chi_1$. Let $\widetilde{P} \twoheadrightarrow \pi^\vee$ be a projective envelope of $\pi^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$, and let $\widetilde{E} := \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\widetilde{P})$.

Proposition 5.1. *$\widetilde{P}$ satisfies the hypotheses (N0), (N1) and (N2) of §4. Moreover, the functor $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ induces an isomorphism of local rings between $\widetilde{E}$ and R^ψ_ρ.*

Proof. This follows from [45]. The representation $k \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{E}} \widetilde{P}$ is a maximal quotient of $\widetilde{P}$, which contains $\pi^\vee$ with multiplicity one. Such representations were computed in [45] and denoted by Q, see [45, Rem.1.13]. If ρ is irreducible then $k \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{E}} \widetilde{P} \cong \pi^\vee$ by [45, Prop. 6.1]. If $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, with $\chi_1\chi_2^{-1} \neq \mathbf{1}, \omega^{\pm 1}$ then we have an exact non-split sequence:

$$0 \to (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1\omega^{-1})^\vee \to k \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{E}} \widetilde{P} \to (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\omega^{-1})^\vee \to 0 \tag{25}$$

by [45, Prop.8.3]. If $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi\omega & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ then by [45, Lem.10.16] we have an exact non-split sequence

$$0 \to (\tau_1 \otimes \chi \circ \det)^\vee \to k \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{E}} \widetilde{P} \to (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi\omega \otimes \chi\omega^{-1})^\vee \to 0, \tag{26}$$

where τ_1 is a smooth representation of G constructed in [45, §10.2, (180)]. In particular, we have a non-split sequence $0 \to \mathrm{Sp} \to \tau_1 \to \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \to 0$. We see that in all three cases (N0) is satisfied.

Since $\pi \hookrightarrow \widetilde{P}^\vee$ is essential, and $\pi^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = 0$, we deduce that $(\widetilde{P}^\vee)^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ is zero. Hence, (N1) is satisfied. The assertion that (N2) is satisfied and that $\widetilde{E} \cong R^\psi_\rho$ follows from Prop.6.3, Cor.8.7 and Thm. 10.72, Cor. 10.71 of [45]. $\square$

Remark 5.2. *If ρ is absolutely irreducible and $\rho|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong (\omega_2^{r+1} \oplus \omega_2^{p(r+1)}) \otimes \omega^m$ then $\mathrm{soc}_K \pi \cong (\mathrm{Sym}^r k^2 \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1-r} k^2 \otimes \det^r) \otimes \det^m$, where $0 \leq r \leq p-1$,*

$0 \le m \le p-2$ and ω_2 is the fundamental character of Serre of niveau 2, see [5], [6]. *If $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi_1 & * \\ 0 & \chi_2\omega^{r+1} \end{smallmatrix}\right) \otimes \omega^m$, where χ_1, χ_2 are unramified and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2\omega^{r+1}$ then $\pi \cong (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2\omega^r) \otimes \omega^m \circ \det$. Hence, $\mathrm{soc}_K \pi \cong \mathrm{Sym}^r k^2 \otimes \det^m$ if $0 < r < p-1$ and $\det^m \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1} k^2 \otimes \det^m$, otherwise. In particular, $\mathrm{soc}_K \pi$ is multiplicity free.*

Remark 5.3. *It follows from* (25), (26) *and* [14, 3.2] *that $\mathrm{soc}_K \pi \cong \mathrm{soc}_K(k \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{E}} \widetilde{P})^\vee$.*

Theorem 5.4. *There exists $x \in \widetilde{E}$, such that $x : \widetilde{P} \to \widetilde{P}$ is injective and the quotient is a projective envelope of $(\mathrm{soc}_K \pi)^\vee$ in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Before proving the theorem, let us explain how to deduce the Breuil-Mézard conjecture from it.

Corollary 5.5. *$\widetilde{P}$ is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.29 and Remark 2.30 that $\widetilde{P}$ is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. Alternatively, one may deduce the statement from [26]. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let Θ be a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ or $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$. Then $M(\Theta)$, defined in Definition 2.12 with $N = \widetilde{P}$, is a Cohen-Macaulay R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module of dimension 2. In particular, $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\operatorname{ann} M(\Theta)$ is equidimensional and all its associated primes are minimal.*

Proof. Since (N0) holds by Proposition 5.1, $k \widehat{\otimes}_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} \widetilde{P}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]$-module. The assertions follow from Lemma 2.27 and Proposition 2.24. We note that in the definition of a p-adic Hodge type $(\mathbf{w}, \tau, \psi)$ we require that $\psi\varepsilon^{-(a+b)}|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \det \tau$. Using our conventions regarding local class field theory this is equivalent to the central character of Θ equal to ζ. In particular, Θ^d is an object of $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let Θ be a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$) and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the R^{ψ}_{ρ}-annihilator of $M(\Theta)$. Then $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}$ is equal to $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ (resp. $R^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$).*

Proof. Corollary 5.6, Proposition 4.11, Corollary 4.19 imply that the conditions of Proposition 2.26 are satisfied. It follows from Proposition 2.26 that $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}$ is reduced. The assertion follows from Corollary 4.13. □

Theorem 5.8. *The ring $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free and of relative dimension 1 over $\mathcal{O}$. Moreover, we have an equality of one dimensional cycles:*

$$z_1(R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)/(\varpi)) = \sum_{\sigma} m_{\sigma} z_1(M(\sigma)),$$

where the sum is taken over the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible k-representations of K with central character ζ, m_{σ} is the multiplicity with which σ occurs as a subquotient of $\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)}$ and $M(\sigma)$ is an R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module defined in Definition 2.2 with $N = \widetilde{P}$.

Further, $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if σ occurs in the K-socle of π. If $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, \pi) \neq 0$ then the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of $M(\sigma)$ is equal to 1.

The same statement holds if we replace $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ with $R^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$ with $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w}, \tau)$.

Proof. The first two assertions follow from Theorem 2.36, and Corollary 5.7. We note that Corollaries 5.5, 5.6 imply that parts (a) and (b) of Theorem 2.36 are satisfied. Proposition 4.11, Corollary 4.19 imply that part (c) of Theorem 2.36 is satisfied, with Σ equal to m-Spec $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$ (resp. m-Spec $R^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)[1/p]$) minus finitely many points. Let σ be an irreducible smooth k-representation of K with central character ζ. We apply $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(*,\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee}$ to the exact sequence $0\to\widetilde{P}\xrightarrow{x}\widetilde{P}\to\widetilde{P}/x\widetilde{P}\to 0$, given by Theorem 5.4, to obtain an exact sequence of R^{ψ}_{ρ}-modules:

$$0\to M(\sigma)\xrightarrow{x}M(\sigma)\to\mathrm{Hom}_K(\widetilde{P}/x\widetilde{P},\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee}\to 0 \tag{27}$$

Since $\widetilde{P}/x\widetilde{P}$ is a projective envelope of $(\mathrm{soc}_K\,\pi)^{\vee}$ we have an isomorphism of k-vector spaces:

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{cont}}_{\mathcal{O}[\![K]\!]}(\widetilde{P}/x\widetilde{P},\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee}\cong\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma,\mathrm{soc}_K\,\pi)\cong\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma,\pi). \tag{28}$$

It follows from (28) that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\widetilde{P}/x\widetilde{P},\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee}=0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma,\pi)=0$, in which case Nakayama's lemma implies $M(\sigma)=0$. Since $\mathrm{soc}_K\,\pi$ is multiplicity free by Remark 5.2, if $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma,\pi)\neq 0$ then it is a one dimensional k-vector space. Then (27) and (28) give an exact sequence:

$$0\to M(\sigma)\xrightarrow{x}M(\sigma)\to k\to 0. \tag{29}$$

Hence, if we let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the R^{ψ}_{ρ}-annihilator of $M(\sigma)$, then $M(\sigma)\cong R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}$, and (29) implies that $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\mathfrak{a}\cong k[\![x]\!]$, and so the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of $M(\sigma)$ is 1. $\square$

We will now prove Theorem 5.4, thus completing the proof of Theorem 5.8.

Proposition 5.9. *Let Ω be in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$ with $\mathrm{soc}_G\,\Omega\cong\pi$. If Ω is admissible and $\Omega|_K$ is injective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(k)$ then the following hold:*

- (i) $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\pi,\Omega)$ *is one dimensional;*
- (ii) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G,\zeta}(\pi,\Omega)=0$ *for* $i\geq 2$*;*
- (iii) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G,\zeta}(\pi',\Omega)=0$ *for all* $i\geq 0$ *and all* $\pi'\in\mathrm{Irr}_{G,\zeta}(k)$*,* $\pi'\not\cong\pi$*.*

Proof. Let ξ be irreducible in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(k)$ then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G,\zeta}(\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi,\Omega)\cong\mathrm{Ext}^i_{K,\zeta}(\xi,\Omega),\quad\forall i\geq 0.$$

Since Ω is injective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(k)$ we deduce that $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G,\zeta}(\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi,\Omega)$ is zero for $i\geq 1$, and, since Ω is admissible, $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi,\Omega)$ is finite dimensional. Let $\phi\in\mathcal{H}_{\xi}:=\mathrm{End}_G(\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi)$ be non-zero. Since $\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi$ is a free $\mathcal{H}_{\xi}$-module by [1], we have an exact sequence

$$0\to\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi\xrightarrow{\phi}\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi\to\frac{\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi}{\phi}\to 0. \tag{30}$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_G(*,\Omega)$ to (30) we obtain

- (a) $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{G,\zeta}(\frac{\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi}{\phi},\Omega)=0$, $\forall i\geq 2$;
- (b) $\dim_k\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\frac{\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi}{\phi},\Omega)=\dim_k\mathrm{Hom}_G(\frac{\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi}{\phi},\Omega)$.

Since every supersingular and principal series representation is of the form $\frac{\text{c-Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\xi}{\phi}$ for a suitable ξ and ϕ by [1], [5], (a) implies part (ii) of the Proposition, and since

$\operatorname{soc}_G \Omega \cong \pi$ by assumption, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\pi, \Omega) \cong \operatorname{End}_K(\pi) = k$, which together with (b) implies (i).

Let $\pi' \in \operatorname{Irr}_{G,\zeta}(k)$ such that $\pi' \not\cong \pi$. Since $\operatorname{soc}_G \Omega \cong \pi$ this implies $\operatorname{Hom}_G(\pi', \Omega) = 0$. If π' is either supersingular or principal series then (a) and (b) imply that (iii) holds. If π' is either special or a character then there exist exact non-split sequences:

$$0 \to \pi' \to \frac{\text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^{G} \xi}{T_\xi - \lambda} \to \pi'' \to 0, \quad 0 \to \pi'' \to \frac{\text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^{G} \check{\xi}}{T_{\check{\xi}} - \mu} \to \pi' \to 0, \tag{31}$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in k$, where $\{\pi', \pi''\} = \{\chi \circ \det, \operatorname{Sp} \otimes \chi \circ \det\}$, for some character χ. Since π is neither special series nor a character by assumption, using (31) we deduce that (iii) also holds when π' is either special or a character. □

Proof of Theorem 5.4. It follows from [12, Cor.9.11] that there exists an injection $\pi \hookrightarrow \Omega$, where Ω is a smooth G-representation with central character ζ, such that $\Omega|_K$ is an injective envelope of $\operatorname{soc}_K \pi$ in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{K,\zeta}(k)$. If π' is a non-zero G-subrepresentation of Ω, then $\operatorname{soc}_K \pi'$ is non-zero, and hence $\pi' \cap \pi$ is non-zero. Since π is irreducible, we deduce that π' contains π, and hence $\operatorname{soc}_G \Omega \cong \pi$. Since π is admissible, $\operatorname{soc}_K \pi$ is a finite direct sum of irreducible representations, [44, Lem.3.6] implies that Ω is admissible.

Let $\pi \hookrightarrow J$ be an injective envelope of π in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$. We now proceed as in [45, Rem.10.11] to deduce from Proposition 5.9 the existence of an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega \to J \to J \to 0. \tag{32}$$

Since the monomorphism $\pi \hookrightarrow \Omega$ is essential, there exists an embedding $\Omega \hookrightarrow J$. Since Ω and J have the same G-socle and J is injective, for all $\pi' \in \operatorname{Irr}_{G,\zeta}(k)$ and all $i \geq 0$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{G,\zeta}(\pi', J/\Omega) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_{G,\zeta}(\pi', \Omega)$. Parts (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 5.9 imply that J/Ω is injective in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$, and part (i) of Proposition 5.9 implies that $\operatorname{soc}_G J/\Omega \cong \pi$. This implies that $\pi \hookrightarrow J/\Omega$ is an injective envelope of π, and since these are unique up to isomorphism, we have $J/\Omega \cong J$.

Dualizing (32) we obtain an exact sequence $0 \to J^\vee \xrightarrow{\bar{x}} J^\vee \to \Omega^\vee \to 0$. Since Pontryagin duality induces an anti-equivalence of categories between $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(k)$, $J^\vee$ is a projective envelope of $\pi^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(k)$. Since these are unique up to isomorphism, $J^\vee$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{P}/\varpi\widetilde{P}$. The same argument shows that $\Omega^\vee$ is a projective envelope of $(\operatorname{soc}_K \pi)^\vee$ in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(k)$. We identify $\widetilde{P}/\varpi\widetilde{P}$ with $J^\vee$. Since $\widetilde{P}$ is projective there exists $x \in \widetilde{E}$ lifting $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(k)}(J^\vee)$. We apply the snake lemma to the diagram, in which the exact sequence $0 \to \widetilde{P} \xrightarrow{\varpi} \widetilde{P} \to J^\vee \to 0$ is mapped to itself by $x \in \widetilde{E}$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{x} = 0$ we obtain exact sequences $0 \to \operatorname{Ker} x \xrightarrow{\varpi} \operatorname{Ker} x \to 0$ and $0 \to \operatorname{Coker} x \xrightarrow{\varpi} \operatorname{Coker} x \to \operatorname{Coker} \bar{x} \to 0$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} x$ is a compact $\mathcal{O}$-module, Nakayama's lemma implies that x is injective. The second exact sequence implies that $\operatorname{Coker} x$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free. Since the reduction modulo ϖ of $\operatorname{Coker} x$ is a projective envelope of $(\operatorname{soc}_K \pi)^\vee$ in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(k)$, $\operatorname{Coker} x$ is a projective envelope of $(\operatorname{soc}_K \pi)^\vee$ in $\operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ by [44, Prop.4.6]. □

5.2. **Non-generic case.** We deal with the case $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \chi\omega & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. We will recall a construction due to Colmez of $\beta \in \operatorname{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$, such that the semi-simplification of β is isomorphic to $(\operatorname{Sp} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \pi_\alpha) \otimes \chi \circ \det$, where $\pi_\alpha := \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \omega \otimes \omega^{-1}$, and $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\beta^\vee) \cong \rho$. We will then proceed as in [45, §5.7] by modifying an argument of Kisin [34] to show that $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ induces an isomorphism between the deformation problems of $\beta^\vee$ in

$\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ and of ρ with a fixed determinant equal to $\zeta\varepsilon$. We will then show that the conditions of Theorem 2.38 are satisfied with N equal to the universal deformation of $\beta^\vee$. This will then allow us to proceed as in §5.1 to deduce an analog of Theorem 5.8 in this case. Since the functor $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ is compatible with twisting by characters, we may assume that χ is trivial so that $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \omega & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and ζ is trivial modulo ϖ, so that $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k) = \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G/Z}(k)$.

For $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G/Z}(k)$ we let $e^1(\pi_1,\pi_2) := \dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\pi_1,\pi_2)$, where the extensions are computed in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G/Z}(k)$. The following dimensions are computed in Theorems 11.4 and 11.5 (ii) in [43], or alternatively see [16, §VII.4] or [21]:

$$e^1(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) = 0, \quad e^1(\mathrm{Sp},\mathbf{1}) = 1, \quad e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathbf{1}) = 1, \tag{33}$$

$$e^1(\mathbf{1},\mathrm{Sp}) = 2, \quad e^1(\mathrm{Sp},\mathrm{Sp}) = 0, \quad e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathrm{Sp}) = 0, \tag{34}$$

$$e^1(\mathbf{1},\pi_\alpha) = 0, \quad e^1(\mathrm{Sp},\pi_\alpha) = 1, \quad e^1(\pi_\alpha,\pi_\alpha) = 2. \tag{35}$$

The dimensions of higher Ext-groups are computed in [45, §10.1]. Since $e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathbf{1}) = 1$, there exists a unique up to isomorphism non-split sequence:

$$0 \to \mathbf{1} \to \kappa \to \pi_\alpha \to 0. \tag{36}$$

It is shown in [16, VII.4.18] that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\mathbf{1},\mathrm{Sp}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, k)$. We denote the extension corresponding to $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, k)$ by E_ϕ.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\beta \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G,\zeta}(k)$ be such that $\mathrm{soc}_G\, \beta \cong \mathrm{Sp}$ and the semi-simplification of β as a G-representation is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \pi_\alpha$. Then there exist a non-zero $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, k)$ and non-split exact sequences:*

$$0 \to E_\phi \to \beta \to \pi_\alpha \to 0, \tag{37}$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sp} \to \beta \to \kappa \to 0. \tag{38}$$

Moreover, β determines uniquely the one dimensional k-subspace spanned by ϕ and every non-zero ϕ arises in this way.

Proof. Since $e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathrm{Sp}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{soc}_G\, \beta \cong \mathrm{Sp}$, we deduce that $\mathrm{soc}_G(\beta/\mathrm{Sp}) \cong \mathbf{1}$, and hence β contains a non-split extension of Sp by $\mathbf{1}$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\mathbf{1},\mathrm{Sp}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, k)$, every such extension is isomorphic to E_ϕ for a non-zero ϕ, which is uniquely determined up to a scalar. The assumption on the semi-simplification of β implies that $\beta/E_\phi \cong \pi_\alpha$, which gives us (37). Since $\mathrm{soc}_G(\beta/\mathrm{Sp}) \cong \mathbf{1}$ and the semi-simplification of β/Sp is equal to $\mathbf{1} \oplus \pi_\alpha$, we deduce that β/Sp is a non-split extension of $\mathbf{1}$ by π_α. Since $e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathbf{1}) = 1$, it must be isomorphic to κ, which gives (38).

Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, k)$ be non-zero and let E_ϕ be the corresponding extension. Since $e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathrm{Sp}) = e^2(\pi_\alpha,\mathrm{Sp}) = 0$ by [45, §10.1], we have $e^1(\pi_\alpha, E_\phi) = e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathbf{1}) = 1$. This shows that for every non-zero ϕ there exists β, with $\mathrm{soc}_G\, \beta \cong \mathrm{Sp}$, and semi-simplification $\mathrm{Sp} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \pi_\alpha$, such that E_ϕ is a subrepresentation of β. □

Lemma 5.11. *$e^1(\pi_\alpha, \beta) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $e^1(\pi_\alpha,\mathrm{Sp}) = 0$ and $e^1(\pi_\alpha,\kappa) = 0$ by [45, §10.1, (193)], we deduce the assertion by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi_\alpha, *)$ to (38). □

Lemma 5.12. *$e^1(\kappa, \beta) = 1$.*

Proof. Since $e^1(\kappa,\kappa)=0$ and $e^1(\kappa,\mathrm{Sp})=2$ by [45, Lem.10.18], the assertion follows from applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{G/Z}(\kappa,*)$ to (38). $\square$

Let β be as in Lemma 5.10, we will recall Colmez's description of $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\beta^\vee)$. Using local class field theory and some basic group cohomology we may identify $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times,k)\cong\mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},k)\cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},k)$. Now the cup product defines a non-degenerate pairing $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},k)\times H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},k(\omega))\to H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},k(\omega))\cong k$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times,k)$ is a two dimensional k-vector space and the pairing is non-degenerate, the subspace orthogonal to ϕ is one dimensional, and we denote it by $k\phi^\perp$. Since $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},k(\omega))\cong\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\mathbf{1},\omega)$, $k\phi^\perp$ defines a non-split extension $0\to\omega\to\rho\to\mathbf{1}\to0$. It is shown in [16, VII.4.24] that $\mathbf{V}(\beta)\cong\rho$, which implies that $\check{\mathbf{V}}(\beta^\vee)\cong\rho$. The space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times,k)$ has a distinguished line of *unramified* homomorphisms, i.e. homomorphisms, which are trivial on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p},k(\omega))$ contains the so called *peu ramifié* line, see [54, 2.4]. One may show that the two lines are orthogonal to each other. In this case we will say that ρ is *peu ramifié*, otherwise we will say that ρ is *très ramifié*.

From now on β will always denote a representation satisfying the conditions of Lemma 5.10, and $\rho=\check{\mathbf{V}}(\beta^\vee)$. Since one may recover ϕ from ρ, Lemma 5.10 implies that ρ determines β uniquely.

Theorem 5.13. *The universal deformation problem of $\beta^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ is representable by a complete local noetherian ring $R_{\beta^\vee}$. The functor $\check{\mathbf{V}}$ induces an isomorphism between the deformation functor of $\beta^\vee$ and the deformation functor of ρ with determinant $\varepsilon\zeta$, and hence an isomorphism $R_{\beta^\vee}\cong R^\psi_\rho$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\beta^\vee)=k$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\beta^\vee,\beta^\vee)\cong\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\beta,\beta)$, which has dimension at most 3 as a k-vector space by [45, Lem.10.19], one may show that the deformation functor of $\beta^\vee$ is representable checking the Schlessinger's criterion. Arguing as in the proof of [45, Prop.5.55], we obtain a surjection $R_{\beta^\vee}\twoheadrightarrow R^\psi_\rho$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $R_{\beta^\vee}$. Since $R^\psi_\rho\cong\mathcal{O}[\![x_1,x_2,x_3]\!]$ and $\dim_k\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{m}^2+(\varpi))=\dim_k\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\beta^\vee,\beta^\vee)\le3$, the surjection is an isomorphism. $\square$

Definition 5.14. *We let N be the universal deformation of $\beta^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Remark 5.15. *Theorem 5.13 allows to view N as a compact R^ψ_ρ-module.*

Lemma 5.16. *The conditions (N0), (N1) and (N2) hold for N equal to the universal deformation of $\beta^\vee$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. Since $k\otimes_{R^\psi_\rho}N\cong\beta^\vee$, (N0) is satisfied. We have $\mathrm{soc}_G\,\beta\cong\mathrm{Sp}$, and hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathbf{1},\beta)=0$. Since N is a deformation of $\beta^\vee$, $\{(N/\mathfrak{m}^iN)^\vee\}_i$ is an increasing exhaustive filtration of $N^\vee$ with graded pieces isomorphic to β, which implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathbf{1},N^\vee)=0$, so that (N1) is satisfied. Theorem 5.13 implies that (N2) is satisfied. $\square$

Definition 5.17. *We let $\gamma:=(N/(\mathfrak{m}^2+(\varpi))N)^\vee$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of R^ψ_ρ.*

Lemma 5.18. *There exists an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G/Z}(k)$:*

$$0\to\beta\to\gamma\to\beta^{\oplus3}\to0. \tag{39}$$

Moreover, by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{G/Z}(\beta, *)$ *to* (39) *we obtain isomorphisms:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\beta) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{G/Z}(\beta,\gamma), \quad \mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\gamma/\beta) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\beta,\beta). \tag{40}$$

Proof. This is a formal consequence of deformation theory. Let $\overline{R}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\overline{N}$ denote the images of R^{ψ}_{ρ}, the maximal ideal of R^{ψ}_{ρ} and N modulo ϖ. Since N is a deformation of $\beta^{\vee}$ to R^{ψ}_{ρ}, it is R^{ψ}_{ρ}-flat and $k \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N \cong \beta^{\vee}$. Thus tensoring the exact sequence $0 \to \overline{\mathfrak{m}}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2 \to \overline{R}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2 \to k \to 0$ with N over R^{ψ}_{ρ}, we obtain an exact sequence in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$: $0 \to (\beta^{\vee})^{\oplus d} \to \overline{N}/\mathfrak{m}^2\overline{N} \to \beta^{\vee} \to 0$, where $d = \dim_k \overline{\mathfrak{m}}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2$. Since $R^{\psi}_{\rho} \cong \mathcal{O}[\![x_1,x_2,x_3]\!]$, $d=3$. We obtain (39) by dualizing this exact sequence.

The assertion of (40) follows by unraveling the isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{C}(k)}(\beta^{\vee},\beta^{\vee}) \cong (\overline{\mathfrak{m}}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2)^*$, where $*$ denotes the k-linear dual, [39]. Namely, any extension $0 \to \beta^{\vee} \to E \to \beta^{\vee} \to 0$ in $\mathfrak{C}(k)$ can be viewed as a deformation of $\beta^{\vee}$ to $k[\epsilon]$, where $\epsilon^2 = 0$. The isomorphism classes of such extensions are in bijection with $\mathrm{Hom}(R^{\psi}_{\rho}, k[\epsilon])$, since R^{ψ}_{ρ} is the universal deformation ring of $\beta^{\vee}$. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(R^{\psi}_{\rho}, k[\epsilon])$ corresponds to $0 \to \beta^{\vee} \to E \to \beta^{\vee} \to 0$, then $E \cong k[\epsilon] \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho},\varphi} N$. In particular, the extension is non-split if and only if φ is surjective, and any non-split extension is a quotient of $\overline{N}/\mathfrak{m}^2\overline{N}$, as $\mathfrak{m}^2 + (\varpi)$ is contained in the kernel of φ. Hence, the map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(k)}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^2 \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N, \beta^{\vee}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathfrak{C}(k)}(\beta^{\vee},\beta^{\vee})$ is surjective, and hence an isomorphism for dimension reasons. Dually we obtain (40). ☐

Lemma 5.19. *For all non-zero G-subrepresentations* τ *of* β *there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\gamma) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau,\gamma) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\beta/\tau,\beta) \to 0. \tag{41}$$

Proof. Since β is multiplicity free and its G-socle is irreducible, the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\beta) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau,\beta)$ is an isomorphism and $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta/\tau,\beta) = 0$. It follows from (39) that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta/\tau,\gamma) = 0$. We apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{G/Z}(\beta,*) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{G/Z}(\tau,*)$ to (39) to obtain a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\beta) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\gamma) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\beta^{\oplus 3}) \arrow[r, two heads, "(40)"] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\beta,\beta) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau,\beta) \arrow[r, hook] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau,\gamma) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau,\beta^{\oplus 3}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\tau,\beta)
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows from a diagram chase that the cokernel of the second vertical arrow is equal to the kernel of the fourth vertical arrow. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\beta,\beta) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau,\beta)$ is an isomorphism, the latter is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G/Z}(\beta/\tau,\beta)$. ☐

For every $\tau \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G/Z}(k)$ we let $\mathcal{I}(\tau) := \mathrm{Hom}_{I_1}(\mathbf{1},\tau) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-}\mathrm{Ind}^G_{I_1 Z}\,\mathbf{1},\tau)$. Then $\mathcal{I}(\tau)$ is naturally a right $\mathcal{H} := \mathrm{End}_G(\text{c-}\mathrm{Ind}^G_{I_1 Z}\,\mathbf{1})$-module. In the following we will make extensive use of the following Theorem due to Rachel Ollivier.

Theorem 5.20 ([40])**.** *If* $\tau \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{l.fin}}_{G/Z}(k)$ *is generated by its* I_1*-invariants then the natural map* $\mathcal{I}(\tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \text{c-}\mathrm{Ind}^G_{ZI_1}\,\mathbf{1} \to \tau$ *is an isomorphism and we have an isomorphism of functors* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau,*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\tau),\mathcal{I}(*))$.

We let $\pi(0,1) := \frac{\text{c-}\mathrm{Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\mathbf{1}}{T-1}$, where if we identify $\text{c-}\mathrm{Ind}^G_{KZ}\,\mathbf{1}$ with the space of finitely supported functions from the set of vertices of the Bruhat-Tits tree to

k, then T is the endomorphism induced by sending a vertex to the sum of its neighbours.

Lemma 5.21. *If ρ is peu ramifié then $E_\phi \cong \pi(0,1)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\beta) \cong \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))$. If ρ is très ramifié then $\mathcal{I}(\beta) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})$.*

Proof. It is shown in [45, Lem.10.22] that $\mathcal{I}(\kappa) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1})$. This implies that $\mathcal{I}(\beta) \cong \mathcal{I}(E_\phi)$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}) \to \mathcal{I}(E_\phi) \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1})$. It follows from the examining the action of $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbb{Z}_p^\times & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ on the I_1-coinvariants of $E_\phi^\vee$, see the formula before Theorem VII.4.18 in [16], that if ϕ is ramified then the map $\mathcal{I}(E_\phi) \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1})$ cannot be surjective. Hence, if ρ is *très ramifié* then $\mathcal{I}(\beta) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})$.

Since there exists a non-split extension $0 \to \mathrm{Sp} \to \pi(0,1) \to \mathbf{1} \to 0$ by [1, Thm.30(2)(b)], there exists $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, k)$ such that $\pi(0,1) \cong E_\phi$. Since $\pi(0,1)$ is a quotient of $\text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^G \mathbf{1}$, we deduce that $\mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)) \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1})$ is surjective. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 5.20 that the sequence of $\mathcal{H}$-modules $0 \to \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}) \to \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)) \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}) \to 0$ is non-split. By the previous part, we deduce that ϕ cannot be ramified. Since the space of unramified homomorphisms is one dimensional we are done. ☐

Proposition 5.22. *If ρ is très ramifié then $\mathcal{I}(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})^{\oplus 2}$. If ρ is peu ramifié then we have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{H}$-modules: $0 \to \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)) \oplus \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}) \to \mathcal{I}(\gamma) \to \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))$.*

Proof. It follows from (41) with $\tau = \mathrm{Sp}$ and Lemma 5.12 that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Sp}, \gamma)$ is 2-dimensional. This together with Theorem 5.20 implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), \mathcal{I}(\gamma)) = 2$. Moreover, from (39) we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}(\beta) \to \mathcal{I}(\gamma) \to \mathcal{I}(\beta)^{\oplus 3}. \tag{42}$$

If ρ is *très ramifié* then $\mathcal{I}(\beta) = \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})$ by Lemma 5.21. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})) = 0$ by [45, Lem.5.26], we deduce from (42) that $\mathcal{I}(\gamma)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), \mathcal{I}(\gamma))$ is 2-dimensional, we get $\mathcal{I}(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})^{\oplus 2}$.

If ρ is *peu ramifié* then $\pi(0,1)$ is a subrepresentation of β. It follows from (41) with $\tau = \pi(0,1)$ and Lemma 5.11 that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)), \mathcal{I}(\gamma))$ is 1-dimensional, and hence that $\mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{I}(\gamma)$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), \mathcal{I}(\gamma))$ is 2-dimensional, we deduce that $\mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)) \oplus \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{I}(\gamma)$ and denote the quotient by Q. Since $\mathcal{I}(\beta) = \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))$, it follows from (42) that Q is a submodule of $\mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1})$. It is enough to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), Q) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), Q)$ is at most one dimensional.

Lemma 5.26 of [45] implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1})) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}))$ is one dimensional. Since the extension $0 \to \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}) \to \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)) \to \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}) \to 0$ is non-split, its class is a basis vector of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}))$. By applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), *)$ to this exact sequence we get that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathbf{1}, \beta) = 0$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), \mathcal{I}(\gamma)) = 0$. By applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), *)$ to the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)) \oplus \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}) \to \mathcal{I}(\gamma) \to Q \to 0$, we deduce that if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}), Q)$ is non-zero, then $\mathcal{I}(\gamma)$ contains $\mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))^{\oplus 2}$, which implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)), \mathcal{I}(\gamma))$ is at least two dimensional, leading to a contradiction. Lemmas 5.23, 5.26 of [45] imply that $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1}))$ is one dimensional. This implies that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))$ is one dimensional. By applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), *)$ to $0 \to \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1)) \oplus \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}) \to \mathcal{I}(\gamma) \to Q \to 0$, we deduce that that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp}), Q)$ is at most one dimensional. ☐

For all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}_{K,\zeta}(k)$ we let $M(\sigma)$ be the R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module defined in Definition 2.2 with N equal to the universal deformation of $\beta^{\vee}$.

Proposition 5.23. *If ρ is très ramifié then $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma \cong \mathrm{st} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1} k^2$. If ρ is peu ramifié then $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if either $\sigma = \mathbf{1}$ or $\sigma = \mathrm{st}$. In all cases the Krull dimension of $M(\sigma)$ is at most one.*

Proof. Let us recall that $\beta \cong (k \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N)^{\vee}$ and $\gamma \cong (N/(\mathfrak{m}^2 + (\varpi))N)^{\vee}$. If ρ is *très ramifié* then $\mathcal{I}(\beta) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})$ and hence the G-representation generated by I_1-invariants of β is isomorphic to Sp. This implies that $\mathrm{soc}_K \beta \cong \mathrm{soc}_K \mathrm{Sp} \cong \mathrm{st}$. It follows from Corollary 2.6 that $M(\sigma) = 0$ if $\sigma \not\cong \mathrm{st}$, and from Proposition 2.4 that $k \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} M(\mathrm{st})$ is a one dimensional k-vector space, which implies that $M(\mathrm{st}) \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{I}(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})^{\oplus 2}$, we deduce by the same argument that $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathrm{st}, \gamma) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_K^G \sigma, \gamma)$ is a two dimensional k-vector space. Corollary 2.8 implies that $M(\mathrm{st})$ is at most one dimensional.

If ρ is *peu ramifié* then $\mathcal{I}(\beta) \cong \mathcal{I}(\pi(0,1))$ and so $\mathrm{soc}_K \beta \cong \mathrm{soc}_K \pi(0,1) \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathrm{st}$. The same argument gives that $M(\sigma)$ is zero if $\sigma \not\cong \mathbf{1}$ or $\sigma \not\cong \mathrm{st}$, and non-zero otherwise. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 5.22 that $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_K(\mathbf{1}, \gamma) \leq 2$, which allows us to deduce that $M(\mathbf{1})$ is at most one dimensional. Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be the G-normalizer of I. Then $\mathfrak{K}$ acts on $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{1})$ trivially and on $\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Sp})$ by the character $\delta : G \to k^{\times}$, $\delta(g) = (-1)^{v_p(\det g)}$, which is nontrivial as $p > 2$. Now $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}}^G \mathbf{1}, \beta) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbf{1}, \beta) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{K}}(\mathbf{1}, \pi(0,1))$ is a one dimensional k-vector space, and it follows from Proposition 5.22 that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}}^G \mathbf{1}, \gamma)$ is at most two dimensional k-vector space. We deduce from Corollary 2.8 that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}}^G \mathbf{1}, N^{\vee})^{\vee}$ is a finitely generated R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module of Krull dimension at most one. Similarly, $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Sp}, \beta) = 1$, and $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Sp}, \gamma) = 2$ as explained in the proof of Proposition 5.22. Hence, $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Sp}, N^{\vee})^{\vee}$ is a finitely generated R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module of Krull dimension at most one. There exists an exact sequence of G-representations:

$$0 \to \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}}^G \mathrm{Sp}^{I_1} \otimes \delta \to \text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^G \mathrm{Sp}^{K_1} \to \mathrm{Sp} \to 0 \tag{43}$$

Since $\mathrm{Sp}^{K_1} \cong \mathrm{st}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ acts on $\mathrm{Sp}^{I_1} \otimes \delta$ by $\delta^2 = \mathbf{1}$, by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_G(*, N^{\vee})^{\vee}$ to (43), we obtain an exact sequence of R^{ψ}_{ρ}-modules:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}}^G \mathbf{1}, N^{\vee})^{\vee} \to M(\mathrm{st}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Sp}, N^{\vee})^{\vee} \to 0. \tag{44}$$

This allows us to deduce that $M(\mathrm{st})$ is at most one dimensional. □

Proposition 5.24. $\mathrm{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![I_1]\!],\zeta} \beta^{\vee} = 3$.

Proof. By $\mathrm{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![I_1]\!],\zeta}$ we mean the length of a minimal projective resolution in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{I_1,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$, with the understanding that projective objects have projective dimension 0. Let $Z_1 := I_1 \cap Z$ so that $Z_1 \cong 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $p > 2$ there exists a continuous character $\sqrt{\zeta} : Z_1 \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}$, whose square is equal to ζ. Twisting by $\sqrt{\zeta} \circ \det$ induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{I_1,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{I_1/Z_1}(\mathcal{O})$. Hence, we may assume that ζ is trivial, and have to show that $\mathrm{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![I_1/Z_1]\!]} \beta^{\vee} \leq 3$. Since ϖ kills $\beta^{\vee}$ and is $\mathcal{O}[\![I_1/Z_1]\!]$-regular, we have $\mathrm{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![I_1/Z_1]\!]} \beta^{\vee} = \mathrm{projdim}_{k[\![I_1/Z_1]\!]} \beta^{\vee} + 1$. If we take a Pontryagin dual of a minimal projective resolution of $\beta^{\vee}$ in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{I_1/Z_1}(k)$, we obtain a minimal injective resolution of β in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{sm}}_{I_1/Z_1}(k)$. Hence, it is enough to show that $H^i(I_1/Z_1, \beta) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ and $H^2(I_1/Z_1, \beta) \neq 0$. This maybe deduced from (38), since $H^i(I_1/Z_1, \mathrm{Sp}) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ by[45, Prop.10.5] and $H^i(I_1/Z_1, \kappa) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ and $H^2(I_1/Z_1, \kappa) \neq 0$, by [45, Lem.10.23] via [45, Lem.5.21]. □

Corollary 5.25. *The conditions of Theorem 2.38 are satisfied. In particular, the following hold:*

(a) *N is projective in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{pro}}_{K,\zeta}(\mathcal{O})$;*
(b) *If Θ is a K-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\sigma(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ or $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$, then $R^{\psi}_{\rho}/\operatorname{ann} M(\Theta)$ is equidimensional and all its associated primes are minimal, where $M(\Theta)$ is defined in Definition 2.12;*
(c) $\max_{\sigma}\{\dim M(\sigma)\} = 1$.

Proof. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}$ be any character. The statement of the Corollary holds for N if and only if it holds for $N \otimes \chi \circ \det$ with ζ replaced by $\zeta\chi^{-2}$. Hence, we may assume that ζ is trivial. Propositions 5.23 and 5.24 imply that $\mathrm{projdim}_{\mathcal{O}[\![I_1/Z_1]\!]}\, k \otimes_{R^{\psi}_{\rho}} N + \max_{\sigma}\{\dim M(\sigma)\} \le 4$. Since $R^{\psi}_{\rho} \cong \mathcal{O}[\![x_1, x_2, x_3]\!]$, it is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 4. Moreover, N is R^{ψ}_{ρ}-flat, since it is a deformation of $\beta^{\vee}$ to R^{ψ}_{ρ}. □

Theorem 5.26. *Let $\rho \cong \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\chi\omega & * \\ 0 & \chi\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and we assume that ρ is non-split. The ring $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion free and of relative dimension 1 over $\mathcal{O}$. Moreover, we have an equality of one dimensional cycles:*

$$z_1(R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)/(\varpi)) = \sum_{\sigma} m_{\sigma} z_1(M(\sigma)), \tag{45}$$

where the sum is taken over the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible k-representations of K with central character ζ, m_{σ} is the multiplicity with which σ occurs as a subquotient of $\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{w},\tau)}$ and $M(\sigma)$ is an R^{ψ}_{ρ}-module defined in Definition 2.2 with N equal to the universal deformation of $\beta^{\vee}$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$.

The same statement holds if we replace $R^{\psi}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ with $R^{\psi,\mathrm{cr}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ and $\sigma(\mathbf{w},\tau)$ with $\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w},\tau)$.

Further, $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if either $\sigma \cong \mathrm{st} \otimes \det^m$ or ρ is peu ramifié and $\sigma \cong \det^m$, where m is an integer such that $\chi|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = \omega^m$. If $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ then its Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity is 1, except if ρ is peu ramifié then the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of $M(\mathrm{st} \otimes \det^m)$ is 2.

Proof. The proof of the first two assertions is the same as the proof of Theorem 5.8, using Corollary 5.25 instead of Corollaries 5.5, 5.6. The statement about the vanishing of $M(\sigma)$ follows from Proposition 5.23 taking into account the twist by χ. Since (45) holds for all ψ, $\mathbf{w}$ and τ, the statement about the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicities of $M(\sigma)$, when $M(\sigma) \neq 0$ follows from [25, 3.3.1], where the authors show that by choosing $\mathbf{w} = (0, 1)$, $\tau = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ and $\zeta = \mathbf{1}$ we have $\overline{\sigma(\mathbf{w},\tau)} \cong \mathrm{st}$ and $\overline{\sigma^{\mathrm{cr}}(\mathbf{w},\tau)} \cong \mathbf{1}$, so the right-hand-side of (45) is the cycle we want to compute, and the left-hand-side has been computed by Breuil-Mézard in [10, Thm.5.3.1 (i)]. □

6. Semi-stable, non-crystalline case

In this subsection we will prove the following result claimed in Theorem 4.18.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\Pi \in \mathrm{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$ be absolutely irreducible and non-ordinary. If $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \pi \otimes W$, where π is a smooth special series representation and W is an irreducible algebraic representation then Π satisfies (RED).*

To prove the Theorem, we may assume that $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \mathrm{St} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^n L^2$, where St is the smooth Steinberg representation of G and $\underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^n L^2 = \mathrm{Sym}^n L^2 \otimes |\det|^{n/2}$ by twisting by a unitary character.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}^a_G(L)$ be the category of admissible, locally analytic representations of G defined in [53]. If $\chi : T \to L^\times$ is a locally analytic character, we let $I^{\mathrm{an}}(\chi) = (\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi)_{\mathrm{an}}$ be the space of locally analytic function $f : G \to L$, such that $f(bg) = \chi(b)f(g)$ for all $b \in P$ and $g \in G$, where G acts on $I^{\mathrm{an}}(\chi)$ by right translations. The representation $I^{\mathrm{an}}(\chi)$ equipped with the subspace topology, inherited from $C^{\mathrm{an}}(G, L)$, is an object of $\mathrm{Rep}^a_G(L)$.

Recall that $v \in \Pi$ is locally analytic if the orbit map $G \to \Pi$, $g \mapsto gv$ defines a locally analytic function. Let Π^{an} denote the subset of locally analytic vectors in Π. Colmez [17] and Liu-Xie-Zhang [37] have shown that there exists an exact non-split sequence in $\mathrm{Rep}^a_G(L)$:

$$0 \to \Sigma \to \Pi^{\mathrm{an}} \to I^{\mathrm{an}}(\delta_2^n|\alpha|) \otimes |\det|^{n/2} \to 0 \tag{46}$$

where $\delta_1, \delta_2, \alpha : T \to L^\times$ are the characters $\delta_1(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)) = a$, $\delta_2(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)) = d$, $\alpha = \delta_1\delta_2^{-1}$ and Σ is the representation defined by Breuil in [7]. The G-socle of Σ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{St} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^n L^2$, the G-cosocle is isomorphic $\underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^n L^2$, and we have exact non-split sequences in $\mathrm{Rep}^a_G(L)$:

$$0 \to \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma \to \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^n L^2 \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{St} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^n L^2 \to \Sigma_1 \to I^{\mathrm{an}}(\delta_1^{n+1}\delta_2^{-1}) \otimes |\det|^{n/2} \to 0.$$

In the notation of [7], $\Sigma = \Sigma(k, \mathcal{L})$ and $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma(k)$, where $k = n + 2$. We refer to [19, §1] for facts on universal unitary completions. The following two propositions are well known.

Proposition 6.2. *The universal unitary completion of* Σ *is isomorphic to* Π.

Proof. It is shown in [15, Thm.0.4] that the unitary Banach space representation of G, denoted by $\widetilde{B}(k, \mathcal{L})$ in [8, §3.3], is non-zero, irreducible and admissible. It follows from [8, Cor.3.3.4] that $\widetilde{B}(k, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the Banach space representation of G denoted by $B(k, \mathcal{L})$ in [7]. Hence, parts (i), (ii) and (iii) of [7, Prop.4.4.4] hold and the assertion follows from [7, Prop.4.4.4(v)], the proof of which depends only on parts (i), (ii) and (iii), and not on the Conjecture 4.4.1 in [7]. □

We denote by $\mathcal{L}_G$ continuous, L-linear, G-equivariant homomorphisms.

Proposition 6.3. *The natural map* $\mathcal{L}_G(\Sigma_1, \Pi_1) \to \mathcal{L}_G(\mathrm{St} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^n L^2, \Pi_1)$ *is surjective for every unitary Banach space representation* Π_1 *of* G.

Proof. Propositions 4.6.1 and 4.3.5(i) of [7] imply that the Banach space representation denoted by $B(k)$ is the universal unitary completion of $\mathrm{St} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^{k-2} L^2$. Moreover, it follows from [7, Prop.4.3.4] that the natural map $\mathrm{St} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^{k-2} L^2 \to B(k)$ factors through $\Sigma(k) \to B(k)$, where $\Sigma(k)$ is Σ_1 with $n = k - 2$ in our notation. Since every $\phi : \mathrm{St} \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Sym}}^{k-2} L^2 \to \Pi_1$ factors through $B(k)$ by the universality, this implies the assertion. □

Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ contained in L and let H be a finite dimensional locally F-analytic group. Let $\mathrm{Rep}^a_H(L)$ be the category of admissible locally analytic H-representations on L-vector spaces in the sense of [53, §6]. Recall that sending V to its continuous L-linear dual V'_b equipped with the strong topology induces an anti-equivalence of categories between $\mathrm{Rep}^a_H(L)$ and $\mathcal{C}_H$, the category of coadmissible modules over the algebra $D(H) = D(H, L)$ of locally analytic distributions on H, [53, Thm. 6.3]. These categories are abelian. For V and W in $\mathrm{Rep}^a_H(L)$ we denote by $\mathrm{Ext}^i_H(V, W)$ the Yoneda Ext-groups in the category $\mathrm{Rep}^a_H(L)$ and if the centre $Z(H)$ of H acts on V and W by a character ζ, we denote by $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{H,\zeta}(V, W)$ the Yoneda Ext-groups computed in the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}^a_H(L)$ with objects those representations on which $Z(H)$ acts by ζ. Note that, if $\mathcal{L}_G(V, W) = 0$ then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_G(V, W)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(V, W)$ coincide. Since $\mathrm{Rep}^a_H(L)$ is anti-equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_H$ passing to duals induces an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Ext}^i_H(V, W)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{C}_H}(W'_b, V'_b)$, the Yoneda Ext-group in $\mathcal{C}_H$, [4, Thm.1, p.121]. For each $i \geq 0$ we obtain a map

$$\lambda^i : \mathrm{Ext}^i_H(V, W) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{C}_H}(W'_b, V'_b) \to \mathrm{Ext}^i_{D(H)}(W'_b, V'_b), \tag{47}$$

where the last Ext-group is computed in the category of abstract $D(H)$-modules. The discussion after Lemma 3.6 in [53] implies that λ^0 is an isomorphism and hence λ^1 in an injection.

Lemma 6.4. *Let V, W be in $\mathrm{Rep}^a_L(H)$ and suppose that W'_b is a finitely generated $D(H_0)$-module for some compact open subgroup H_0 of H then λ^1 is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $0 \to V' \to M \to W' \to 0$ be an exact sequence of abstract $D(H)$-modules. We have dropped the subscript b, since it only indicates the topology on V'. By lifting the generators of W' to M we obtain a surjection $D(H_0)^r \twoheadrightarrow W'$, which factors through $D(H_0)^r \to M \to W'$. We thus obtain a commutative diagram of $D(H_0)$-modules:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta"] & V' \oplus D(H_0)^r \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & W' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & V' \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & W' \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall that $D(H_0)$ is a Fréchet-Stein algebra by [53, Thm.5.1]. A direct sum of two coadmissible $D(H_0)$-modules is coadmissible and the kernel and cokernel of a $D(H_0)$-linear map between coadmissible modules is again coadmissible, [53, Cor. 3.4 (i), (ii)]. Using this we deduce that N is coadmissible, hence $\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta$ is coadmissible. Since $\mathrm{Ker}\,\beta \cong \mathrm{Ker}\,\gamma$ by the snake lemma, and γ is surjective, we deduce that M is coadmissible. Hence, the extension class lies in the image of λ^1. Since λ^1 is injective, it is an isomorphism. □

Remark 6.5. *(i) If Π is an admissible unitary L-Banach space representation of H then the continuous L-linear dual of the locally F-analytic vectors in Π is a finitely generated $D(H_0)$-module for all compact open subgroups H_0 of H,* [53, Thm.7.1(i)], [47, Prop.3.4] *or* [22, Prop.6.2.4].

(ii) If W is in $\mathrm{Rep}^a_L(H)$ such that W' is finitely generated over $D(H_0)$, then for every admissible subrepresentation U of W, U' is also finitely generated over $D(H_0)$, since it is a quotient of W'.

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and we note that if $\chi : T \to L^\times$ is a locally analytic character, then $I^{\mathrm{an}}(\chi)'$ is finitely generated over $D(H_0)$ for any compact open subgroup H_0 of G, see §5 in [51]. We say that χ is locally constant or smooth if the kernel is an open subgroup of T. In this case, $I^{\mathrm{sm}}(\chi)$ denotes the space of locally constant functions $f : G \to L$ such that $f(bg) = \chi(b)f(g)$ for all $b \in P$ and $g \in G$, with G-action by the right tranlations. If n is a non-negative integer the representation

$I^{\mathrm{sm}}(\chi)\otimes_L \operatorname{Sym}^n L^2$ equipped with the finest locally convex topology is an object of $\operatorname{Rep}^a_L(G)$. It follows from [50, §4] that for every smooth character $\chi_{\mathrm{lc}}: P\to L^\times$ and integer $n\ge 0$ we have an exact sequence in $\operatorname{Rep}^a_L(G)$:

$$0\to I^{\mathrm{sm}}(\chi_{\mathrm{lc}})\otimes \operatorname{Sym}^n L^2 \to I^{\mathrm{an}}(\chi_{\mathrm{lc}}\delta_2^n)\to I^{\mathrm{an}}(\chi_{\mathrm{lc}}\delta_1^{n+1}\delta_2^{-1})\to 0. \tag{48}$$

The last term in (48) is topologically irreducible by [51, Thm.6.1], note that P is the lower triangular matrices in [51].

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\chi, \psi: T\to L^\times$ be locally analytic characters. If $\psi\neq\chi$ and $\psi\neq\chi\alpha$ then $\operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\chi,\psi)=0$. Moreover,*

- (i) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\chi,\chi)\cong \operatorname{Hom}^{cont}(T,L)$ *is* 4*-dimensional;*
- (ii) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{P,\zeta}(\chi,\chi)\cong \operatorname{Hom}^{cont}(T/Z,L)$ *is* 2*-dimensional;*
- (iii) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\chi,\chi\alpha)$ *is* 1*-dimensional.*

Proof. We have natural isomorphisms $\operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\chi,\psi)\cong H^1_{\mathrm{an}}(P,\psi\chi^{-1})$, where H^1_{an} is the locally analytic group cohomology defined in [36]. If $\chi=\psi$ then this group is isomorphic to locally analytic group homomorphisms $\phi: P\to L$. Since U is contained in the derived subgroup of P, every such ϕ factors through $P/U\cong T$. Since every locally continuous homomorphism $\phi: T\to L$ is already locally analytic, [55, §V.9, Thm.2], we deduce (i). Part (ii) follows similarly by observing that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{P,\zeta}(\chi,\psi)\cong H^1_{\mathrm{an}}(P/Z,\psi\chi^{-1})$. Suppose that $\chi\neq\psi$, then the spectral sequence [36, Thm.6.8] (or an easy calculation with cocycles) allows to identify $H^1_{\mathrm{an}}(P,\psi\chi^{-1})$ with the group of locally analytic group homomorphism $\phi: U\to L$, such that $\phi(t^{-1}ut)=\chi\psi^{-1}(t)\phi(u)$ for all $t\in T$ and $u\in U$. This implies that the restriction of $\chi\psi^{-1}$ to Z is trivial and, if we let $c=\phi(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right))$, then $\phi(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&\lambda\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right))=\chi^{-1}\psi(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right))c$, for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. Since ϕ is a continuous character, we have $\phi(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&\lambda\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right))=\lambda c$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence, if $\phi\neq 0$ then $\chi^{-1}\psi(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right))=\lambda$, for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_p\setminus\{0\}$, which implies that $\chi^{-1}\psi=\alpha$, and the subspace is one dimensional. ☐

Lemma 6.7. *The following hold:*

- (i) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_G(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{an}}(\delta_2^n|\alpha|))=0$*;*
- (ii) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{an}}(\delta_2^n))$ *is* 2*-dimensional;*
- (iii) $\operatorname{Ext}^1_G(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{an}}(\delta_1^{n+1}\delta_2^{-1}))$ *is* 1*-dimensional.*

Proof. Lemma 6.4 implies $\operatorname{Ext}^1_G(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{an}}(\psi))\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{D(G)}(I^{\mathrm{an}}(\psi)', (\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)')$. Lemma 8.1 in [36] says $I^{\mathrm{an}}(\psi)'\cong D(G)\otimes_{D(P)}\psi^{-1}$ and the argument used in the proof of [36, Thm.8.18] shows that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{D(G)}(I^{\mathrm{an}}(\psi)', (\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)')\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{D(P)}(\psi^{-1}, (\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)').$$

Since both spaces are finite dimensional, by dualizing we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{D(P)}(\psi^{-1}, (\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)')\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, \psi).$$

Now $\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2$ has a P-invariant filtration with the graded pieces isomorphic to $\delta_1^{n-i}\delta_2^i$, $0\le i\le n$. Lemma 6.6 implies that if $\psi=\delta_2^n|\alpha|$ then $\operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2,\psi)=0$; if $\psi=\delta_2^n$ then $\operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2,\psi)\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_P((\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)_U,\psi)\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\psi,\psi)$, which implies (ii); if $\psi=\delta_1^{n+1}\delta_2^{-1}$ then we have an injection $\operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2,\psi)\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_P((\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)^U,\psi)\cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_P(\psi\alpha^{-1},\psi)$. The injection is an isomorphism, since the target is one dimensional and the source is non-zero, as it contains the extension $0\to\psi\to\operatorname{Sym}^{n+1}L^2\otimes\delta_2^{-1}\to\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2\to 0$. ☐

Lemma 6.8. *$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, \operatorname{Sym}^n L^2) = 0$, $\operatorname{Ext}^1_G(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, \operatorname{St}\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)$ is one dimensional.*

Proof. Lemma 6.7(i) and (48) imply that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_G(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{sm}}(|\alpha|)\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2) = 0$. It follows from [48] that there exists a two term projective resolution of $\mathbf{1}$ in the category of smooth representations of G/Z:

$$0 \to \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}}^G\,\delta \to \text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^G\,\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{1} \to 0, \tag{49}$$

where $\delta : G \to L^\times$ is the character $\delta(g) = (-1)^{v_p(\det g)}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ is the G-normalizer of I. Using (49) and Lemma 4.10 we deduce that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_G(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, \operatorname{St}\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)$ is one dimensional. Lemma 2.4.2 of [7] implies that the natural map, induced by (48),

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{an}}(\delta_2^n)) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{an}}(\delta_1^{n+1}\delta_2^{-1}))$$

is non-zero. Since the target is 1-dimensional and the source is 2-dimensional by Lemma 6.7, we deduce that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbf{1})\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)$ is one dimensional. We claim that the natural map

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, I^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbf{1})\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, \operatorname{St}\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)$$

is non-zero. Since the source and the target are one dimensional, the claim implies that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\operatorname{Sym}^n L^2, \operatorname{Sym}^n L^2)$ is zero. To prove the claim, it is enough to construct an extension $0 \to I^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbf{1}) \to E \to \mathbf{1} \to 0$ in the category of smooth G/Z-representations, such that the sequence $0 \to \operatorname{St} \to E/\mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{1} \to 0$ is non-split. It follows from (49) that $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{G/Z,\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$ is zero. Hence, the natural map $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G/Z,\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbf{1}, I^{\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbf{1})) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G/Z,\mathrm{sm}}(\mathbf{1},\operatorname{St})$ is surjective, and the target is non-zero, as the sequence $0 \to \operatorname{St} \to I^{\mathrm{sm}}(|\alpha|) \to \mathbf{1} \to 0$ is non-split. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We may assume that $\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \operatorname{St}\otimes\underline{\operatorname{Sym}}^n L^2$. Passing to locally analytic vectors induces an exact functor $\operatorname{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_G(L) \to \operatorname{Rep}^a_G(L)$, [53, Thm.7.1]. This induces a map $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Pi,\Pi) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Pi^{\mathrm{an}},\Pi^{\mathrm{an}})$. It follows from Proposition 6.2 that the composition

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Pi,\Pi) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Pi^{\mathrm{an}},\Pi^{\mathrm{an}}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Sigma,\Pi^{\mathrm{an}}) \tag{50}$$

is injective. Let ϵ be the class of an extension $0 \to \Pi \to E \to \Pi \to 0$ in $\operatorname{Ban}^{\mathrm{adm}}_{G,\zeta}(L)$. If $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ then we obtain an exact sequence $0 \to \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \to E^{\mathrm{alg}} \to \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}} \to 0$. It follows from [48] that there exists a two term projective resolution of St in the category of smooth G/Z-representations:

$$0 \to \text{c-Ind}_{\mathfrak{K}}^G\,\operatorname{St}^{I_1}\otimes\delta \to \text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^G\,\operatorname{St}^{K_1} \to \operatorname{St} \to 0, \tag{51}$$

Since $\mathfrak{K}$ acts trivially on $\operatorname{St}^{I_1}\otimes\delta$ we deduce that there are no extensions of St by itself in the category of smooth G/Z-representations. Lemma 4.10 implies that there are no extensions of $\operatorname{St}\otimes\underline{\operatorname{Sym}}^n L^2$ by itself in the category of locally algebraic representations with central character ζ. Hence, $E^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}\oplus\Pi^{\mathrm{alg}}$. Proposition 6.3 implies that the image of ϵ under the composition of (50) with the natural map $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Sigma,\Pi^{\mathrm{an}}) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\Sigma_1,\Pi^{\mathrm{an}})$ is zero. Hence, (50) induces an injection $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\underline{\operatorname{Sym}}^n L^2, \Pi^{\mathrm{an}})$. It follows from (46) and Lemmas 6.7 and 6.8 that this group is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{G,\zeta}(\underline{\operatorname{Sym}}^n L^2, \operatorname{St}\otimes\underline{\operatorname{Sym}}^n L^2)$, which is one dimensional. □

References

[1] L. Barthel and R. Livné, 'Irreducible modular representations of GL_2 of a local field', *Duke Math. J.* 75, (1994) 261–292.

[2] L. Berger, 'La correspondance de Langlands locale p-adique pour $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$', Exposé no 1017 du Séminaire Bourbaki, *Astérisque* 339 (2011) 157–180.

[3] L.Berger and C. Breuil, 'Sur quelques représentations potentiellement cristallines de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$', *Astérisque* 330, 2010, 155–211.

[4] N. Bourbaki, *Algébre homologique*, Masson 1980.

[5] C. Breuil, 'Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. I', *Compositio* 138, 165–188, 2003.

[6] C. Breuil, 'Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. II', *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 2, 1–36, 2003.

[7] C. Breuil, 'Invariant L et série spéciale p-adique', *Ann. Scient. de l'E.N.S.* 37, 2004, 559-610.

[8] C. Breuil, 'Série spéciale p-adique et cohomologie étale complétée', *Astérisque* 331 (2010) 65–115.

[9] C. Breuil and M. Emerton, 'Représentations p-adiques ordinaires de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et compatibilité local-global', *Astérisque* 331 (2010) 255–315.

[10] C. Breuil and A. Mézard, 'Multiplicités modulaires et représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ et de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ en $l = p$', *Duke Math. J.* 115, 2002, 205-310.

[11] C. Breuil and A. Mézard, 'Multiplicités modulaires raffinées', to appear in Bull. Soc. Math. de France.

[12] C. Breuil and V. Paškūnas, 'Towards a modulo p Langlands correspondence for GL_2', *Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc.* 216, 2012.

[13] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, CUP 1993.

[14] K. Buzzard and T. Gee, 'Explicit reduction modulo p of certain crystalline representations', *IMRN* 2009, no. 12, 2303–2317.

[15] P. Colmez, 'La série principale unitaire de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$', *Astérisque* 330 (2010), 213–262.

[16] P. Colmez, 'Représentations de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ, Γ)-modules', *Astérisque* 330 (2010) 281–509.

[17] P.Colmez, ' La série principale unitaire de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$: vecteurs localement analytiques', Preprint.

[18] G. Dospinescu, *In preparation.*

[19] M. Emerton, 'p-adic L-functions and unitary completions of representations of p-adic reductive groups', *Duke Math. J.* 130 (2005), no. 2, 353–392.

[20] M. Emerton, 'Ordinary Parts of admissible representations of p-adic reductive groups I. Definition and first properties', *Astérisque* 331 (2010), 335–381.

[21] M. Emerton, 'Ordinary Parts of admissible representations of p-adic reductive groups II. Derived functors', *Astérisque* 331 (2010), 383–438.

[22] M. Emerton, 'Locally analytic vectors in representations of locally p-adic analytic groups', to appear in *Memoirs of the AMS*.

[23] M. Emerton, 'A local-global compatibility conjecture in the p-adic Langlands programme for $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$', *Pure and Applied Math. Quarterly* 2 (2006), no. 2, 279–393.

[24] M. Emerton, 'Local-global compatibility in the p-adic Langlands programme for $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$', Preprint 2011.

[25] M. Emerton and T.Gee, 'A geometric perspective on the Breuil-Mézard conjecture', arXiv:1109.4226.

[26] M. Emerton and V.Paškūnas, 'On the effaceability of certain δ-functors', *Astérisque* 331 (2010) 461–469.

[27] J.-M. Fontaine, 'Représentations p-adiques semi-stables', *Astérisque* 223 (1994) 113–184.

[28] P. Gabriel, 'Des catégories abéliennes', *Bull. Math. Soc. France*, 90, 1962, 323–448.

[29] T. Gee and M. Kisin, 'The Breuil-Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti-Tate representations', arXiv:1208.3179.

[30] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer 1977.

[31] G. Henniart, 'Sur lunicité des types pour GL_2', Appendix to [10].

[32] M. Kisin, 'Potentially semi-stable deformation rings', *J.A.M.S* 21(2) (2008) 513–546.

[33] M. Kisin, 'The Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for GL_2, *J.A.M.S* 22(3) (2009) 641–690.

[34] M. Kisin, 'Deformations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ representations', *Astérisque* 330 (2010), 511–528.

[35] M. Kisin, 'The structure of potentially semi-stable deformation rings', *Proceedings of ICM 2010*, to appear.

[36] J. Kohlhaase, 'The cohomology of locally analytic representations', *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle)* 651 (2011) 187–240.

[37] R. Liu, B. Xie and Y. Zhang, 'Locally analytic vectors of unitary principal series of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$', preprint 2010.

[38] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Second edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. CUP, Cambridge, 1989.

[39] B. Mazur, 'An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations', *Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem* (Boston, MA, 1995), 243–311, Springer, New York, 1997, Eds. G. Cornell, J.H. Silverman, G. Stevens.

[40] R. Ollivier, 'Le foncteur des invariants sous l'action du pro-p-Iwahori de $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$', *J. für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 635 (2009) 149-185.

[41] V. Paškūnas, 'Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$', *Mémoires de la SMF*, 99, 2004.

[42] V. Paškūnas, 'On some crystalline representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$', *Algebra Number Theory* 3 (2009), no. 4, 411–421.

[43] V. Paškūnas, 'Extensions for supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$', *Astérisque* 331 (2010) 317–353.

[44] V. Paškūnas, 'Admissible unitary completions of locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$', *Represent. Theory* 14 (2010), 324–354.

[45] V. Paškūnas, 'The image of Colmez's Montreal functor', arXiv:1005.2008v2.

[46] D. Prasad 'Locally algebraic representations of p-adic groups', appendix to [50].

[47] T. Schmidt 'Analytic vectors in continuous p-adic representations' *Compositio* 145 (2009), 247–270

[48] P. Schneider and U. Stuhler, 'Resolutions for smooth representations of the general linear group over a local field', *J. reine angew. Math.* 436, 19-32 (1993)

[49] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, 'Banach-Hecke algebras and p-adic Galois representations' *Documenta Mathematica*, The Book Series 4 (J. Coates' Sixtieth Birthday), 631 - 684 (2006).

[50] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, '$U(\mathfrak{g})$-finite locally analytic representations' Representation Theory 5, 111-128 (2001)

[51] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, 'Locally analytic distributions and p-adic representation theory, with applications to GL_2' *J. AMS* 15, 443-468 (2002)

[52] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, 'Banach space representations and Iwasawa theory', *Israel J. Math.* 127, 359–380 (2002).

[53] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, 'Algebras of p-adic distributions and admissible representations' *Invent. math.* 153, 145–196 (2003)

[54] J.-P. Serre, 'Sur la représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, *Duke Math. J.* 54 No.1 (1987) 179–230.

[55] J.-P. Serre, *Lie algebras and Lie groups*, Springer 1992.